

Value Monitor

Vol. 77
THIRD QUARTER 2004

VALUE MONITOR LICENSE AGREEMENT

The subscription fee to this publication entitles the user to one copy. It is a violation of the copyright to make copies of and/or distribute this publication within your organization or for dissemination to clients. Multi-user licenses are available. Please contact Jack Doyle at (800) 992-7257 for information.

Printing or copying either the entire report or large sections for marketing or presentations is strictly prohibited, unless permission is received in advance from the publisher (**Global Real Analytics**). Likewise, incorporation of this information into an electronic network (for either internal or external purposes) is prohibited, unless permission is obtained beforehand from the publisher. Furthermore, you may not redistribute, rent, lend, sell, or modify any materials from the Value Monitor, or create derivative works for sale based on information or data contained in this report, without the express written permission of **Global Real Analytics, LLC**.

We realize, however, that the value of market data is based on its utility. Consequently, we encourage the use of our national data and/or select local market data from the **Value Monitor** for internal and external reports. We only stipulate that any borrowing of select material from the **Value Monitor** (and other NREI/GRA publications) for reports or articles include the following citation: "Data provided by the **National Real Estate Index/Global Real Analytics**, (800) 992-7257."

As always, if you have any questions regarding these policies, please call. It has been and remains our desire to work with you.



NATIONAL & REGIONAL PERFORMANCE

Third Quarter 2004



	CB Off		Subui Off		Warei	IOUSE	Reta	AIL	CLAS Apart		CLAS Apart	
REGIONS	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate
National Quarterly Change*	\$218.90 —	7.4% ↓	\$181.86 —	7.7% —	\$48.96 ↑	8.2%	\$142.56 ↑	8.0%	\$117.60 ↑	6.9% ↓	\$80.87	7.7%
California	249.70 —	6.6 ↓	255.26 —	7.0 ↓	67.31 ↑	7.8 —	177.48 ↑	7.7 ↓	173.27	6.4 ↓	124.45 —	7.2
West	182.86 —	7.4 ↓	165.51 —	7.7 ↓	49.46 —	7.7 ↓	138.80 ↑	8.0 ↓	98.41 ↑	6.7 ↓	67.89 —	7.3 ↑
Southwest	124.58 —	8.5	150.21 —	7.7	41.81 ↑	8.2 ↓	130.86	7.6 —	77.05 —	7.4 —	53.39	8.2 ↓
Southeast	125.84 —	8.4 ↓	133.57 —	8.1	35.95 —	8.3	122.17	8.0 ↓	77.66 ↑	7.2 —	53.12	8.1 ↓
Florida	136.89 —	8.2	158.45 —	7.8	51.51 ↑	7.9 —	142.22 —	7.4 —	97.17 ↑	6.6	62.81	8.0 ↓
Midwest	149.71 —	8.3	139.47 —	8.5 —	40.34 ↑	8.7 ↓	125.98	8.5 —	90.80 —	7.0 ↓	63.33	7.6 ↓
Northeast	314.07 —	6.7 ↓	204.03 —	7.6 —	52.74 —	8.3	148.79 ↑	8.2	140.79 ↑	7.2 ↓	92.43 ↑	8.0 ↓

^{*}Arrows represent a change in value during the quarter of greater than or equal to 1.0%. For changes less than 1.0%, performance is considered to be flat.

The above figures reflect performance in 60 markets weighted by property inventory. Averages for all markets have been calculated using regional and local market property stock weights from reputable sources. The National and Regional numbers and percentages noted above are averages and may show variance due to rounding and weighting. For a listing of the markets included, see the last page of this report.

Reported values reflect Class A properties, with the exception of Class B apartments. Select other sectors are reported in the Supplemental Value Monitor [not reflected in the regional and national averages above.] For suburban office, warehouse/distribution, retail, and apartment properties, the INDEX reports property transaction trends within metropolitan areas [Metropolitan Statistical Areas and Primary Metropolitan Statistical Areas], generally as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau.

Reported prices are derived, first and foremost, from closed property sales. Consequently, there may be some disparity between property values reported herein and anecdotal reports of changing market conditions in certain local markets. Ultimately, however, changing values are reflected in the data reported. All data for the current quarter are preliminary and subject to further revision in subsequent publications.

Regional data are primarily indicative of direction and may or may not constitute a pure representation of value trends and activity in underlying local markets. For a complete description of the methodology employed, property type norms and an explanation of terms, please see the "Methodology" section.

CALIFORNIA REGION



	CBD OFFICE Average		SUBURBAN OFFICE Average		WAREHOUSE Average		RETAIL Average		CLASS A APARTMENT Average		CLASS B APARTMENT Average	
	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate
Los Angeles												
3 Q. '04	220.73	7.0	279.99	6.5	69.17	7.6	183.22	7.5	189.99	6.8	129.62	7.6
2 Q. '04	221.57	7.0	278.03	6.6	68.27	7.6	179.56	7.6	184.70	6.9	129.44	7.5
3 Q. '03	222.73	7.1	281.04	6.6	66.85	7.8	174.47	7.8	180.64	7.0	118.71	7.9
OAKLAND												
3 Q. '04	172.03	8.3	223.45	7.2	51.99	7.8	165.57	8.5	163.73	7.1	125.86	6.9
2 Q. '04	172.73	8.3	226.78	7.1	50.30	7.9	163.88	8.5	163.08	7.1	127.46	6.8
3 Q. '03	168.16	8.6	229.35	7.1	48.97	9.1	159.58	8.8	161.25	7.4	126.41	7.0
Orange Count 3 Q. '04	'Y ^α 231.67	7.1	191.22	7.2	75.34	7.7	183.88	7.7	163.85	7.2	131.23	7.7
2 Q. '04	229.90	7.1 7.1	191.22	7.2	75.34 74.66	7.7	183.88	7.7	162.66	7.2	131.23	7.7
2 Q. 04 3 Q. '03	219.46	7.1	186.18	7.4	74.00	7.8	166.60	8.3	163.69	7.2	124.05	7.7
			100.10	7.4	/2.13	7.9	100.00	0.3	103.09	7.1	124.03	7.9
Riverside-Sai												
3 Q. '04	148.00	8.5	147.46	7.2	55.99	7.6	143.17	7.2	121.32	7.9	73.92	9.4
2 Q. '04	146.50	8.6	145.08	7.3	55.63	7.6	140.38	7.3	119.93	7.9	72.02	9.5
3 Q. '03	139.61	9.1	143.38	7.4	51.68	8.0	130.34	7.8	107.53	8.5	68.71	9.5
SACRAMENTO												
3 Q. '04	204.52	7.9	178.47	8.0	46.47	7.8	148.89	8.0	103.22	7.8	76.62	7.6
2 Q. '04	207.67	7.8	181.11	7.9	45.93	7.8	146.61	8.1	103.62	7.8	75.85	7.7
3 Q. '03	209.94	7.8	186.45	7.6	45.37	7.9	139.90	8.4	101.04	8.1	72.70	8.2
SAN DIEGO												
3 Q. '04	240.94	6.2	245.78	7.4	69.04	7.7	173.28	7.7	169.47	7.1	120.86	7.4
2 Q. '04	233.93	6.4	234.19	7.7	67.84	7.8	170.77	7.8	170.11	7.0	119.44	7.4
3 Q. '03	204.01	7.2	224.68	8.1	63.56	8.3	157.69	8.3	169.10	6.8	113.75	7.7
SAN FRANCISCO		7.0	205.40	7.1	70 11	8.1	204.01	7.5	100.00	<i>(</i>)	148.51	7.3
3 Q. '04	336.62	6.8	305.48		72.11		204.01		188.80	6.2		6.3
2 Q. '04	335.18	6.8	302.32	7.2	72.07	8.1 8.5	200.22	7.6	187.60	6.2	145.57	6.4
3 Q. '03	314.44	7.3	281.14	7.8	69.65	0.3	190.28	8.0	182.27	6.5	138.10	6.8
SAN JOSE												
3 Q. '04	275.23	6.6	293.85	7.4	69.46	8.1	187.19	7.6	181.08	6.5	138.27	6.4
2 Q. '04	272.21	6.7	295.46	7.4	69.41	8.2	183.67	7.7	179.15	6.6	137.64	6.5
3 Q. '03	263.20	7.1	298.21	7.4	67.62	8.5	179.53	8.1	175.08	6.9	130.85	7.0
Honolulu												
3 Q. '04	214.37	8.1	164.50	8.5	103.02	9.3	190.83	8.8	139.27	8.4	96.26	9.1
2 Q. '04	212.13	8.2	162.31	8.6	101.33	9.4	187.10	8.9	136.76	9.0	94.39	9.2
3 Q. '03	210.21	8.1	156.23	8.9	95.84	9.5	179.17	9.3	129.20	9.3	88.99	9.4

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent mid- and high-rise properties in the Greater Airport submarket which includes Santa Ana, Irvine, Costa Mesa, and Newport Beach.

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent mid- and high-rise properties in Riverside County.

WEST REGION



	CBD OFFICE Average		SUBURBAN OFFICE Average		WAREHOUSE Average		RETAIL Average		CLASS A APARTMENT Average		CLASS B APARTMENT Average	
	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate
Denver												
3 Q. '04	149.33	8.3	164.00	7.4	47.61	8.0	137.68	8.1	111.80	6.9	75.37	6.6
2 Q. '04	151.46	8.2	164.75	7.4	47.86	8.0	137.44	8.1	110.78	6.9	77.04	6.5
3 Q. '03	159.94	7.9	170.77	7.2	48.15	7.9	133.88	8.2	112.40	6.9	78.62	6.5
LAS VEGAS ^a	170.05	0.4	150.22	7.7	FF 70	7.0	150.00		70.40	0.1	FF 1.4	0.5
3 Q. '04	179.05	8.6	158.33	7.7	55.72	7.3	158.88	7.7	78.69	8.1	55.16	8.5
2 Q. '04	177.11	8.7 9.3	156.57	7.8 8.4	54.65	7.5 7.5	156.49	7.8 8.1	75.03	8.4	54.40	8.5
3 Q. '03	164.02	9.3	146.05	8.4	53.49	7.5	145.60	8.1	72.73	8.5	54.03	8.7
PORTLAND												
3 Q. '04	162.88	8.1	162.63	7.7	51.33	7.7	143.81	8.0	81.69	7.5	57.11	8.3
2 Q. '04	161.00	8.2	161.35	7.8	50.78	7.8	141.57	8.1	82.72	7.4	56.95	8.3
3 Q. '03	161.75	8.2	159.92	8.1	49.76	8.0	135.46	8.4	83.86	7.2	56.80	8.4
SALT LAKE CITY												
3 Q. '04	119.41	8.8	104.70	9.2	36.06	8.1	105.77	8.4	77.92	7.6	47.87	9.2
2 Q. '04	120.04	8.8	105.28	9.2	35.72	8.2	104.19	8.5	76.25	7.7	47.53	9.2
3 Q. '03	117.64	9.1	109.15	9.0	34.87	8.5	95.48	8.1	69.60	8.5	46.49	9.6
SEATTLE												
3 Q. '04	242.96	7.5	189.76	7.6	54.98	7.5	152.41	7.9	110.96	7.1	79.30	7.5
2 Q. '04	240.24	7.6	186.62	7.8	54.37	7.6	148.22	8.1	109.63	7.2	78.83	7.5
3 Q. '03	236.33	7.8	193.50	7.7	55.14	7.5	141.38	8.3	110.34	7.2	74.35	8.0

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent mid- and high-rise office properties throughout Clark County.

SOUTHWEST REGION



	CBD OFFICE Average		SUBURBAN OFFICE Average		WAREHOUSE Average		RETAIL Average		CLAS APART Aver	MENT	CLAS APART Aver	MENT
	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар
	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate
ALBUQUERQUE												
3 Q. '04	100.26	9.8	89.50	9.6	34.61	8.8	95.56	8.5	74.82	8.0	47.06	8.8
2 Q. '04	100.99	9.8	90.96	9.5	34.53	8.8	93.99	8.6	72.95	8.1	46.98	8.9
3 Q. '03	102.83	9.8	91.33	9.6	33.32	9.0	90.19	8.9	64.57	9.1	44.16	9.3
Austin												
3 Q. '04	141.79	8.0	147.67	9.3	40.45	8.6	137.47	8.0	81.69	6.9	62.25	7.2
2 Q. '04	141.60	8.1	150.03	9.2	40.10	8.7	136.49	8.0	82.22	6.9	61.84	7.3
3 Q. '03	139.06	8.4	165.85	8.5	38.99	9.0	128.10	8.4	80.16	7.3	60.43	7.6
DALLAS-FORT	Worthp											
3 Q. '04	127.51	8.9	160.98	7.6	42.13	7.8	146.39	7.3	79.47	7.6	54.36	8.4
2 Q. '04	128.14	8.9	159.91	7.7	41.31	8.0	145.57	7.3	79.00	7.7	53.35	8.5
3 Q. '03	134.16	8.7	162.66	7.6	42.54	7.8	142.62	7.4	79.79	8.1	54.66	8.8
	10 1110	0.,	101.00	, 10	1210 1	7.0	112102	7	,,,,,	0.2	000	0.0
EL PASO	72.40	0.0	04.75	0.7	00.17	0.2	00.22	0.0	FF 24	0.0	27.00	100
3 Q. '04	73.68	9.9	84.75	9.6	29.16	9.3	80.33	9.0	55.34	9.2	37.92	10.0 9.9
2 Q. '04	73.63 73.27	9.9 10.0	84.84 84.23	9.6 9.7	28.73 28.71	9.4 9.5	79.69 75.68	9.0 9.4	54.17 53.23	9.3 9.4	38.37 38.00	10.0
3 Q. '03	/3.2/	10.0	04.23	9./	20./1	9.5	/5.06	9.4	33.23	9.4	36.00	10.0
Houston												
3 Q. '04	129.65	9.3	144.97	7.4	42.31	8.8	127.27	7.6	72.36	8.0	49.71	8.9
2 Q. '04	130.34	9.3	144.04	7.5	41.86	8.9	124.36	7.7	73.15	7.9	48.89	9.2
3 Q. '03	132.23	9.4	130.86	8.4	40.12	9.1	118.99	8.0	73.58	7.9	50.54	9.4
Оксанома Ст	TY											
3 Q. '04	75.87	8.9	85.11	9.1	28.99	9.2	80.64	8.4	58.17	8.7	43.24	10.0
2 Q. '04	76.35	8.9	85.35	9.1	28.72	9.3	79.11	8.5	57.23	8.8	42.63	10.1
3 Q. '03	79.36	8.8	88.12	8.9	28.12	9.5	76.42	8.8	56.44	9.1	42.58	10.0
PHOENIX												
3 Q. '04	132.27	8.5	171.18	7.2	49.75	7.1	145.08	7.5	86.06	7.1	58.65	7.0
2 Q. '04	131.65	8.6	171.45	7.2	48.20	7.2	144.51	7.5	85.84	7.2	56.74	7.2
3 Q. '03	129.29	8.9	181.50	6.9	45.96	7.5	139.31	7.7	84.38	7.5	59.52	7.0
		-		-							- · · · -	
SAN ANTONIO 3 Q. '04	102.51	9.2	115.03	9.1	33.27	8.8	102.98	8.3	74.39	7.6	51.31	8.5
2 Q. '04	102.51	9.2	115.03	9.1	33.27	8.9			74.39 74.22		51.31	8.5
	102.67	9.2	115.95	9.1	32.93	9.0	101.62 95.24	8.4 8.9	74.22	7.5 7.7	51.18	8.6
3 Q. '03	105.08	9.2	115.29	9.I	32.25	9.0	95.24	0.9	/1.03	1./	JU.44	0.0
TULSA												
3 Q. '04	73.69	9.6	80.95	9.5	24.42	9.5	72.09	8.7	53.46	8.9	37.13	10.2
2 Q. '04	74.68	9.5	81.01	9.5	24.23	9.6	71.16	8.8	53.53	8.9	37.25	10.2
3 Q. '03	75.27	9.5	82.12	9.5	24.99	9.5	68.35	9.1	52.46	9.2	37.20	10.4

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent the "Downtown" and "Uptown" submarkets.

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent central Dallas.

SOUTHEAST REGION



	CBD OFFICE Average		SUBUI OFF Aver	ICE	WAREI		RET Aver		CLAS APART Aver	MENT	CLAS APART Aver	MENT
	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate
ATLANTA												
3 Q. '04	155.63	8.5	157.54	7.3	39.38	7.7	153.90	7.4	91.68	6.4	61.94	7.3
2 Q. '04	154.44	8.6	156.22	7.4	39.38	7.7	151.36	7.5	91.20	6.4	59.76	7.6
3 Q. '03	143.73	9.3	156.24	7.5	39.15	7.7	138.99	8.1	88.88	6.8	59.16	7.8
BIRMINGHAM												
3 Q. '04	101.65	9.7	112.11	8.6	30.70	8.8	99.60	8.5	60.18	8.4	45.13	9.1
2 Q. '04	102.70	9.6	111.23	8.7	30.51	8.8	98.13	8.6	59.53	8.4	44.33	9.1
3 Q. '03	104.51	9.5	109.69	8.8	29.79	9.0	92.38	9.0	55.41	8.6	40.81	9.6
CHARLOTTE												
3 Q. '04	156.17	8.0	136.56	8.1	37.22	8.0	125.09	7.6	72.71	7.3	46.17	8.6
2 Q. '04	154.33	8.1	135.46	8.2	36.67	8.1	122.89	7.7	71.65	7.4	46.30	8.6
3 Q. '03	149.08	8.4	126.89	8.8	35.76	8.5	112.57	8.4	67.61	8.2	46.64	8.8
GREENSBORO/W	/inston-Sa	LEM										
3 Q. '04	108.84	9.1	109.82	9.1	28.27	9.3	99.02	9.0	59.39	8.0	41.44	9.1
2 Q. '04	107.88	9.2	109.09	9.2	28.06	9.4	97.48	9.1	59.09	8.1	41.19	9.2
3 Q. '03	98.10	9.4	103.33	9.5	27.92	9.6	93.89	9.4	56.04	8.6	40.03	9.5
GREENVILLE-SI	PARTANBURG											
3 Q. '04	100.85	9.4	88.23	9.2	27.87	9.8	96.48	8.6	51.78	8.4	37.75	9.6
2 Q. '04	100.13	9.5	87.32	9.3	27.99	9.8	95.64	8.7	51.91	8.4	38.27	9.6
3 Q. '03	98.40	9.8	86.64	9.6	28.82	9.8	90.74	9.1	52.87	8.2	38.25	9.8
Мемрніѕ												
3 Q. '04	94.78	9.3	110.34	9.0	31.00	9.0	99.83	8.7	62.89	7.8	42.57	9.0
2 Q. '04	95.19	9.3	110.55	9.0	31.10	9.0	97.99	8.8	62.19	7.8	42.17	9.1
3 Q. '03	95.18	9.5	109.09	9.1	31.15	9.1	93.36	9.2	58.59	8.0	41.24	9.4
Nashville												
3 Q. '04	111.83	9.4	123.44	8.7	33.93	8.7	109.12	8.3	73.00	7.6	49.91	9.2
2 Q. '04	111.92	9.4	123.73	8.7	33.51	8.8	107.77	8.4	72.48	7.7	49.70	9.3
3 Q. '03	110.97	9.5	122.80	8.9	33.03	8.9	102.33	8.8	68.50	8.0	47.49	9.5
New Orleans												
3 Q. '04	98.53	9.5	105.70	8.2	33.95	9.0	103.89	8.5	63.46	8.4	42.20	10.0
2 Q. '04	97.64	9.6	104.55	8.3	34.04	9.0	101.96	8.6	62.52	8.5	41.96	10.0
3 Q. '03	94.74	9.9	100.10	8.7	32.52	9.3	96.41	9.0	58.90	8.7	40.76	10.4
Norfolk												
3 Q. '04	105.07	9.3	114.14	9.0	36.50	8.8	105.59	8.1	84.18	8.4	60.81	9.0
2 Q. '04	104.80	9.3	112.42	9.1	35.91	8.9	103.92	8.2	82.37	8.4	59.63	9.0
3 Q. '03	106.76	9.2	108.25	9.4	34.40	9.2	98.00	8.6	79.70	8.6	56.04	9.3
RALEIGH-DURH		7.0	107.04	0.0	80.00	0.0	100 70	7.0	(2.12	7.7	E2 0=	
3 Q. '04	120.15	7.9	127.96	8.3	32.09	9.0	129.70	7.3	69.60	7.7	51.37	8.8
2 Q. '04 3 Q. '03	120.46 119.00	7.9 8.0	129.93 131.92	8.2 8.1	32.50 33.24	8.9 8.8	127.41 119.03	7.4 7.8	70.78 69.90	7.7 7.9	51.65 53.14	8.8 8.6
	117.00	0.0	131.72	0.1	33.24	0.0	117.03	7.0	07.70	7.7	JJ.14	0.0
RICHMOND	1107/	0.6	104.00	0.2	2474	0.2	111.05	0.4	7/ 50	0.0	40.00	0.4
3 Q. '04	113.76 115.59	9.4 9.3	106.99 108.22	9.3 9.2	34.14	9.3 9.4	111.85	8.4 8.4	76.59	8.0 8.1	49.09	8.6 8.7
2 Q. '04					33.48		111.65		75.24		48.65	

FLORIDA REGION



		CBD Office		RBAN ICE	Warei	INIISE	Ret	ΛΙΙ	CLAS Apart		CLAS Apart	
	Aver		Aver		Aver		Aver		Aver		Aver	
	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate
		Nate		Nate		Nate		Nate		Nate		
Ft. LAUDERDA	\ F											
3 Q. '04	140.31	8.4	175.94	7.1	58.72	7.9	150.22	7.6	100.91	7.2	66.15	8.4
2 Q. '04	140.79	8.4	178.84	7.0	58.38	8.0	151.27	7.5	99.51	7.2	65.50	8.4
3 Q. '03	138.07	8.7	175.53	7.1	56.96	8.1	143.25	7.9	91.31	7.7	63.52	8.4
JACKSONVILL	E											
3 Q. '04	98.60	9.2	109.92	8.9	36.23	8.1	112.72	7.7	76.54	7.9	50.75	8.1
2 Q. '04	99.28	9.2	110.47	8.9	35.70	8.2	111.14	7.8	75.35	7.9	50.03	8.1
3 Q. '03	98.51	9.4	110.98	9.0	33.15	8.7	99.94	8.6	71.98	8.2	47.38	8.5
Міамі												
3 Q. '04	172.53	9.1	193.92	7.0	63.15	7.3	146.96	7.8	117.33	6.2	71.77	7.9
2 Q. '04	173.73	9.1	193.60	7.0	62.22	7.3	145.54	7.8	115.89	6.2	69.79	8.0
3 Q. '03	181.43	8.8	188.94	7.3	57.46	7.9	135.60	8.3	103.66	7.0	65.05	8.2
ORLANDO												
3 Q. '04	137.87	8.9	139.32	8.1	42.44	7.7	137.59	7.0	87.27	6.5	56.22	7.7
2 Q. '04	136.54	9.0	139.73	8.1	41.47	7.8	134.39	7.1	85.38	6.6	56.07	7.7
3 Q. '03	136.21	9.0	145.92	7.9	38.32	8.3	124.97	7.6	73.51	7.5	50.34	8.6
TAMPA-ST. P												
3 Q. '04	122.90	8.1	139.38	8.0	41.41	8.8	137.72	7.0	74.71	7.7	49.62	8.9
2 Q. '04	121.79	8.2	140.86	8.0	40.59	8.9	136.79	7.0	74.33	7.7	48.35	9.0
3 Q. '03	112.73	9.0	135.65	8.3	39.07	9.0	134.32	7.1	71.65	7.9	47.24	9.3
WEST PALM E			100.0-		=0 4·		7.40 = -		00.45		47.4 5	
3 Q. '04	166.25	7.7	180.35	8.0	52.44	7.9	162.74	7.6	99.64	6.9	67.49	8.2
2 Q. '04	164.43	7.8	182.11	7.9	51.43	7.9	163.21	7.5	98.86	6.9	66.87	8.2
3 Q. '03	150.73	8.5	180.77	7.9	48.43	8.3	150.67	8.0	91.86	7.3	61.76	8.8

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent properties in downtown Tampa.

MIDWEST REGION



	CBD OFFICE Average		Subui Off		Warei		RET		CLAS Apart	MENT	CLAS Apart	MENT
	Aver	age	Aver	a g e	Aver	age	Aver	a g e	Aver	age	Aver	age
	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate	Price sf	Cap Rate
CHICAGO												
3 Q. '04	220.43	8.0	168.99	7.8	46.50	8.3	164.74	8.2	112.48	6.7	80.16	7.2
2 Q. '04	220.20	8.0	165.76	8.0	45.54	8.5	163.76	8.2	111.19	6.8	78.06	7.3
3 Q. '03	222.31	8.0	179.65	7.5	47.69	8.3	155.61	8.4	106.44	7.2	76.44	7.5
CINCINNATI												
3 Q. '04	110.77	9.2	108.26	8.8	38.01	8.0	100.36	8.6	64.86	8.5	45.93	8.3
2 Q. '04	111.42	9.2	107.42	8.9	36.97	8.2	99.29	8.7	64.26	8.6	45.39	8.4
3 Q. '03	114.09	9.1	114.49	8.5	32.68	9.3	94.51	9.0	63.86	8.9	44.40	8.8
CLEVELAND					_			_				
3 Q. '04	124.24	9.2	118.24	8.6	36.24	8.7	109.08	8.6	73.13	8.1	52.84	8.5
2 Q. '04	123.20	9.3 9.3	117.19 125.80	8.7	35.89	8.7 9.0	107.68 101.25	8.6 9.1	72.09	8.1	52.49	8.6
3 Q. '03	126.07	9.3	125.80	8.2	34.66	9.0	101.25	9.1	71.53	8.3	50.51	9.0
COLUMBUS	112 /7	0.7	100 17	٥٢	20.05	0.4	00.77	0.2	71.57	7.0	47.60	0.7
3 Q. '04 2 Q. '04	113.67	9.6 9.6	109.17 110.20	9.5 9.5	32.25 32.72	8.4 8.3	98.66 97.04	8.3 8.4	71.56 69.47	7.3 7.4	47.60 47.05	8.6 8.7
2 Q. '04 3 Q. '03	115.10 121.99	9.0	110.20	9.3	31.18	8.6	93.02	8.7	66.35	7.4	44.40	9.3
	121.77	7.1	11 1.11	7.5	31.10	0.0	75.02	0.7	00.00	7.7	11.10	7.0
DETROIT B Q. '04	107.62	9.4	137.27	8.2	40.29	9.5	128.70	8.5	84.21	7.9	54.74	8.5
2 Q. '04	109.00	9.3	137.69	8.2	40.44	9.5	126.69	8.6	84.67	7.9	55.35	8.5
3 Q. '03	112.41	9.2	144.69	7.9	40.96	9.4	121.48	9.0	83.04	8.1	55.77	8.6
NDIANAPOLIS												
3 Q. '04	111.55	9.7	111.16	8.6	32.72	8.5	108.79	8.7	66.49	8.3	47.03	8.4
2 Q. '04	112.95	9.6	110.72	8.7	32.63	8.5	106.95	8.8	66.01	8.4	46.42	8.5
3 Q. '03	116.62	9.4	112.88	8.6	30.53	9.1	102.47	9.1	64.00	8.7	43.95	9.1
KANSAS CITY												
3 Q. '04	115.02	9.1	131.17	8.6	33.82	8.8	126.24	8.7	70.36	8.0	52.38	8.0
2 Q. '04 3 Q. '03	115.62 121.76	9.1 8.9	129.88 130.13	8.7 8.8	33.48 33.19	8.9 9.1	124.71 117.91	8.8 9.1	70.13 70.40	8.0 8.1	52.23 47.25	8.8
	121./0	0.7	130.13	0.0	33.17	7.1	11/.71	7.1	70.40	0.1	7/.23	0.0
MILWAUKEE 3 Q. '04	125.10	8.6	102.01	8.9	38.99	9.2	102.54	8.9	71.49	8.4	47.86	9.3
2 Q. '04	123.10	8.7	102.01	8.9	38.41	9.2	102.54	9.0	71.49	8.4 8.5	48.21	9.3
3 Q. '03	120.36	9.0	101.70	9.0	39.99	9.0	98.02	9.2	68.14	9.0	47.15	9.5
MINNEAPOLIS/S					3,,,,		. 0.02		30.1.		., .10	,.5
VIINNEAPOLIS/S 3 Q. '04	144.13	8.7	144.90	8.7	45.86	8.8	129.71	8.2	96.48	7.3	65.57	8.4
2 Q. '04	144.56	8.7	145.72	8.7	45.09	8.9	127.62	8.3	95.86	7.4	64.03	8.5
3 Q. '03	145.76	8.9	148.61	8.6	43.70	9.3	119.22	8.7	92.50	7.7	60.65	9.0
St. Louis												
3 Q. '04	110.29	9.4	128.46	9.5	37.37	8.5	114.06	8.7	79.74	7.3	54.08	8.3
2 Q. '04	111.93	9.3	129.04	9.5	37.29	8.6	112.40	8.8	79.49	7.4	52.90	8.4
3 Q. '03	115.77	9.2	139.18	9.1	35.40	8.8	106.97	9.2	74.55	7.9	49.65	8.9

For the CBD office sector, these figures represent properties in downtown Minneapolis.

NORTHEAST REGION



	CB Off		Subu Off		Warei	HOUSE	Ret	AIL	CLAS Apart		CLAS Apart	
	Aver	age	Aver	age	Aver	age	Aver	age	Aver	age	Aver	age
	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар	Price	Сар
	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate	sf	Rate
BALTIMORE												
3 Q. '04	140.07	9.3	139.48	8.6	45.68	7.8	133.86	8.5	123.02	6.8	74.84	8.6
2 Q. '04	141.83	9.2	138.75	8.6	45.39	7.8	133.45	8.5	122.30	6.8	73.14	8.7
3 Q. '03	142.33	9.3	141.07	8.5	42.75	8.2	127.82	8.8	107.87	7.5	71.45	8.7
Boston												
3 Q. '04	316.37	6.6	186.39	9.0	56.43	8.6	147.04	8.5	165.77	8.0	115.04	8.1
2 Q. '04	313.27	6.7	187.23	9.0	56.78	8.6	144.61	8.6	165.01	8.0	114.08	8.1
3 Q. '03	299.68	7.9	203.07	8.5	57.24	8.6	139.83	8.8	158.28	8.4	110.84	8.5
CENTRAL NEW	JERSEY ^a											
3 Q. '04	187.76	7.7	184.49	7.4	57.42	8.5	151.70	7.9	129.99	7.5	87.83	8.4
2 Q. '04	185.90	7.8	183.60	7.5	56.45	8.7	149.50	7.9	128.49	7.5	86.11	8.5
3 Q. '03	180.71	8.1	177.11	7.9	56.79	8.5	142.01	8.2	122.85	7.9	78.96	9.0
HARTFORD												
3 Q. '04	104.07	9.6	104.70	9.3	31.57	9.4	109.73	8.9	90.96	8.2	60.58	9.3
2 Q. '04	104.56	9.6	104.76	9.3	31.54	9.4	108.34	9.0	89.80	8.3	59.47	9.4
3 Q. '03	109.49	9.4	101.02	9.8	31.33	9.6	104.40	9.0	86.69	8.7	58.71	9.6
Manhattan D o	owntown ^b											
3 Q. '04	340.96	6.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2 Q. '04	339.31	6.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
3 Q. '03	294.89	7.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Manhattan M												
3 Q. '04	509.30	6.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2 Q. '04	507.16	6.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
3 Q. '03	499.10	6.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
NASSAU-SUFFO			750.00		=0.03		7 (0 00		7.4.00			0.5
3 Q. '04	161.95	7.8	150.93	7.7	58.01	7.6	162.90	7.7	144.28	8.2	92.96	8.5
2 Q. '04	161.43	7.8	149.12	7.8	56.39	7.8	159.81	7.8	142.50	8.3	92.07	8.5
3 Q. '03	157.09	8.0	146.02	8.0	52.47	8.4	148.26	8.3	135.99	8.6	86.49	9.0
Northern Nev		0.0	10/0/	7.7	F7 /1	0.4	1 (2 00	7.0	7.44.00	0.0	00.00	0.1
3 Q. '04	146.33	8.8	196.96	7.7	57.61	8.6	163.02	7.9	144.98	8.2	88.09	9.1
2 Q. '04 3 Q. '03	146.96 149.75	8.8 8.8	197.18 198.69	7.7 7.6	57.14 54.55	8.6 9.0	160.19 153.29	8.0 8.3	142.16 140.11	8.3 8.5	87.16 86.30	9.1 9.0
	17./J	0.0	170.07	7.0	JT.JJ	7.0	133.27	0.0	1 10.11	0.5	00.00	7.0
PHILADELPHIA 3 Q. '04	151.01	9.1	175.96	7.6	46.32	8.0	145.49	8.0	110.39	7.9	73.97	8.3
2 Q. '04	152.12	9.1	175.96	7.6	46.96	7.9	143.49	8.0	10.39	7.9	73.97	8.3
2 Q. '03	156.07	9.0	177.53	7.5	45.07	8.4	136.58	8.4	107.76	7.9	71.66	8.3
PITTSBURGH												
PITTSBURGH 3 Q. '04	124.07	9.8	102.03	9.5	35.44	9.2	100.64	9.2	66.55	8.6	46.36	9.9
2 Q. '04	124.54	9.8	102.65	9.5	35.48	9.2	100.41	9.2	66.02	8.7	46.25	9.9
3 Q. '03	125.47	9.8	105.97	9.4	35.02	9.4	96.65	9.5	63.13	9.0	46.49	10.0
STAMFORD-SO	итн СТ											
3 Q. '04	214.10	8.0	175.84	8.2	57.47	9.2	154.28	9.2	150.01	8.2	85.03	8.8
2 Q. '04	214.97	8.0	173.42	8.3	57.56	9.2	153.34	9.2	148.02	8.3	83.91	8.8
3 Q. '03	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Washington,	DC											
3 Q. '04	383.45	6.4	282.98	6.3	59.40	8.0	170.42	8.1	165.18	6.3	112.44	7.3
2 Q. '04	376.24	6.5	279.98	6.4	58.43	8.1	167.88	8.1	162.39	6.3	108.43	7.5
3 Q. '03	345.58	6.8	256.80	7.0	53.03	8.8	154.62	8.6	155.65	6.5	101.04	7.9

- For the CBD office sector, these figures represent mid-rise and high-rise space in the Princeton-Route 1 Corridor.
- Because of the lack of representative properties, the INDEX does not report garden-style apartment, neighborhood/community shopping center and modern warehouse sectors for New York City.
- For the CBD office sector, these figures represent mid-rise and high-rise space in the Central Nassau County submarket.

Third Quarter 2004



Other Property Sectors and Markets

	PROPERTY	AVERAGE		PROPERTY	AVERAGE
MARKET	SECTOR PR	ICE PER SF	MARKET	SECTOR PR	ICE PER SF
ATLANTA	Class B Suburban Office	\$119.69	BOSTON	Class D Suburban Office	\$37.75
	Class C Suburban Office	77.46	(CONTINUED)	Class B Warehouse	43.67
	Class A Suburban Medical Office	170.28		Class C Warehouse	25.63
	Class B Warehouse	28.15		Class D Warehouse	11.22
	Class C Warehouse	19.37		Class B Flex/Business Campus	88.24
	Class D Warehouse	10.67		Class C Flex/Business Campus	64.00
	Class A Power Retail Center	173.17		Class A R&D	132.30
	Class B/C Power Retail Center	58.37		Class B/C R&D	73.47
	Class B Anchored Shopping Ctr.	105.51			
	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	183.11	BRISBANE,	Class A CBD Office	299.09
	Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr	63.51	AUSTRALIA	Class B CBD Office	195.64
	Class C Apartment	47.08			
	Class D Apartment	30.95	BRUSSELS,	Class A CBD Office	407.09
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	99.83	BELGIUM		
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	65.58			
			CENTRAL NJ	Class B Warehouse	40.92
AUSTIN	Class A Self-Storage	44.98		Class C Warehouse	32.29
	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	238.20		Class C Flex/Business Campus	52.60
BALTIMORE	Class C Suburban Office	78.60	CHARLOTTE	Class C Warehouse	16.18
	Class B Warehouse	29.54			
	Class C Warehouse	19.17	CHICAGO	Class C CBD Office	118.02
	Class C Apartment	58.67		Class D CBD Office	70.08
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	95.43		Class B Suburban Office	122.94
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	60.26		Class C Suburban Office	99.40
				Class D Suburban Office	63.52
BIRMINGHAM	Class C Apartment	32.19		Class B Warehouse	34.19
				Class C Warehouse	23.98
BOSTON	Class B CBD Office	228.43		Class A Power Retail Center	151.31
	Class C CBD Office	176.63		Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ct	r. 83.32
	Class D CBD Office	104.18		Class A Flex/Business Campus	108.56
	Class B Suburban Office	138.43		Class B Flex/Business Campus	76.23
	Class C Suburban Office	99.13		Class C Flex/Business Campus	59.15

The above figures reflect property transactions collected through the third quarter 2004. Only average price data is reported. Data was not collected in all property categories in all markets. Any foreign market prices reported reflect average cost in U.S. dollars at the time of sale. Foreign properties may not have exactly the same physical dimensions or amenities as comparable-class properties in the U.S.

All property types and classes are defined using a combination of factors, including age, amenities, and location. Space configuration and tenancies are also important criteria in evaluating retail properties. While there generally is an important correlation between age and property class, other factors are often more important in determining property class, particularly in the retail, hospitality and CBD office sectors.

Third Quarter 2004



Other Property Sectors and Markets

MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR PF	AVERAGE RICE PER SF	MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR PRI	AVERAGE CE PER SF
CINCINNATI	Class B Warehouse	\$24.94	DETROIT	Class C Warehouse	\$22.81
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	90.40		Class B Flex/Business Campus	79.31
CLEVELAND	Class B Warehouse	29.58	FRESNO	Class A Suburban Office	136.41
	Class C Warehouse	19.92		Class A Warehouse	43.45
	Class C Apartment	36.77		Class B Warehouse	25.75
				Class A Apartment	74.60
COLORADO Springs	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	158.73		Class B Apartment	57.43
			FT. LAUDERDALE	Class B Suburban Office	114.60
COLUMBUS	Class C Warehouse	15.42		Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	72.58
				Class B Flex/Business Campus	81.46
DALLAS-F.W.	Class B Suburban Office	113.57		Class C Flex/Business Campus	64.10
	Class C Suburban Office	69.60			
	Class D Suburban Office	33.32	HOUSTON	Class B Suburban Office	97.66
	Class B Warehouse	31.09		Class C Suburban Office	66.66
	Class C Warehouse	20.88		Class D Suburban Office	43.91
	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	162.01		Class B Warehouse	29.83
	Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ct	r. 62.78		Class D Warehouse	14.34
	Class C Apartment	36.63		Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	188.88
	Class D Apartment	27.28		Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	66.69
	Class B/C Flex/Business Campus	s 70.28		Class C Apartment	38.46
DENVER	Class B Suburban Office	112.19	JACKSONVILLE	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	142.62
	Class C Suburban Office	76.68			
	Class B Warehouse	37.56	KANGAG OLTV	01	105.11
	Class C Warehouse	24.96	KANSAS CITY	Class B Suburban Office	105.11
	Class A Power Retail Center	138.55		Class B Warehouse	24.24
	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	185.98			405.40
	Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ct		LAS VEGAS	Class B CBD Office	127.69
	Class C Apartment	58.85		Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	226.84
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	101.79	Lawrence comme	01 4 000 077	40/:
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	75.08	LONDON CITY	Class A CBD Office	1,061.51
	Class C Flex/Business Campus	52.76	1		

The above figures reflect property transactions collected through the third quarter 2004. Only average price data is reported. Data was not collected in all property categories in all markets. Any foreign market prices reported reflect average cost in U.S. dollars at the time of sale. Foreign properties may not have exactly the same physical dimensions or amenities as comparable-class properties in the U.S.

All property types and classes are defined using a combination of factors, including age, amenities, and location. Space configuration and tenancies are also important criteria in evaluating retail properties. While there generally is an important correlation between age and property class, other factors are often more important in determining property class, particularly in the retail, hospitality and CBD office sectors.

Third Quarter 2004



Other Property Sectors and Markets

MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR PRI	AVERAGE CE PER SF	MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR P	AVERAGE RICE PER SF
LONDON DOCKLANDS	Class A CBD Office	\$1,127.58	MANHATTAN MIDTOWN	Class B CBD Office Class C CBD Office	\$328.69 219.21
LOUISVILLE	Class B CBD Office Class C CBD Office Class D CBD Office Class B Suburban Office Class C Suburban Office Class D Suburban Office Class B Suburban Medical Office Class B Suburban Medical Office Class B Warehouse Class C Warehouse Class C Warehouse Class B Anchored Shopping Ctr. Class B Anchored Shopping Ctr. Class C Anchored Shopping Ctr. Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr. Class A Power Retail Center Class C Apartment Class A Flex/Business Campus Class B Flex/Business Campus Class C Flex/Business Campus Class A R&D Class A Apartment	148.60 106.51 53.26 190.85 140.52 72.45 231.16 145.94 46.84 35.60 103.45 107.44 85.09 204.58 119.23 213.74 93.93 133.35 91.68 68.17 154.91 68.41	MINNEAPOLIS- ST. PAUL NASSAU- SUFFOLK NEWARK OAKLAND- EAST BAY	Class B Suburban Office Class C Suburban Office Class B Warehouse Class C Warehouse Class A Flex/Business Campus Class B Suburban Office Class C Suburban Office Class B Suburban Office Class B Suburban Office Class C Suburban Office Class B Flex/Business Campus Class B Flex/Business Campus Class B/C R&D	128.70 86.88 43.05 29.96 96.47 117.38 79.13 111.27 140.36 109.55 41.30 27.55 107.44 169.21 114.07 35.92 130.73 91.56 81.68
MADRID, SPAIN	Class A CBD Office Class C CBD Office	415.25 229.50	OKLAHOMA CITY	Class C Apartment Class D Apartment	32.35 21.04
MANHATTAN DOWNTOWN	Class B CBD Office Class C CBD Office	214.73 172.27		·	

The above figures reflect property transactions collected through the third quarter 2004. Only average price data is reported. Data was not collected in all property categories in all markets. Any foreign market prices reported reflect average cost in U.S. dollars at the time of sale. Foreign properties may not have exactly the same physical dimensions or amenities as comparable-class properties in the U.S.

All property types and classes are defined using a combination of factors, including age, amenities, and location. Space configuration and tenancies are also important criteria in evaluating retail properties. While there generally is an important correlation between age and property class, other factors are often more important in determining property class, particularly in the retail, hospitality and CBD office sectors.

Third Quarter 2004



Other Property Sectors and Markets

MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR I	AVERAGE PRICE PER SF	MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR PRI	AVERAGE CE PER SF
ORANGE COUNTY	Class B CBD Office	\$154.75	PHOENIX	Class B/C Self-Storage	\$33.87
	Class C CBD Office	115.84	(CONTINUED)	Class A Power Retail Center	148.84
	Class B Suburban Office	141.47		Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	184.59
	Class C Suburban Office	100.99		Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	90.56
	Class B Warehouse	53.74		Class C Apartment	44.05
	Class C Apartment	102.89		Class D Apartment	33.34
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	128.40		Class A Flex/Business Campus	117.10
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	92.37		Class B Flex/Business Campus	79.18
				Class C Flex/Business Campus	49.46
ORLANDO	Class B Suburban Office	102.09			
	Class C Suburban Office	67.90	PORTLAND	Class B Warehouse	37.59
				Class A Flex/Business Campus	116.70
PARIS,	Class A La Defense Office	781.35		Class B Flex/Business Campus	74.45
FRANCE	Class A Suburban Office	496.22			
			RENO	Class A Apartment	84.14
PHILADELPHIA	Class B Suburban Office	135.22			
	Class C Suburban Office	89.30			
	Class D Suburban Office	46.24	RIVERSIDE-	Class B Warehouse	40.34
	Class B Warehouse	34.28	SAN BERNARDINO	Class A Self-Storage	64.10
	Class C Warehouse	24.85		Class B Anchored Shopping Ctr.	87.28
	Class D Warehouse	10.08		Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	181.54
	Class C Apartment	51.22		Class B Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	74.32
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	117.40		Class A Flex/Business Campus	93.24
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	74.94		Class B Flex/Business Campus	62.78
				Class C Flex/Business Campus	43.82
PHOENIX	Class A Urban Medical Office	147.86			
	Class B Urban Medical Office	81.82	SACRAMENTO	Class B Suburban Office	139.81
	Class B Suburban Office	121.50		Class C Suburban Office	94.86
	Class C Suburban Office	83.75		Class B Warehouse	30.20
	Class D Suburban Office	32.56		Class B Flex/Business Campus	85.42
	Class A Suburban Medical Offic	ce 139.32		Class C Flex/Business Campus	58.29
	Class B Warehouse	31.74		·	
	Class C Warehouse	24.40	SAN ANTONIO	Class A Power Retail Center	196.04

The above figures reflect property transactions collected through the third quarter 2004. Only average price data is reported. Data was not collected in all property categories in all markets. Any foreign market prices reported reflect average cost in U.S. dollars at the time of sale. Foreign properties may not have exactly the same physical dimensions or amenities as comparable-class properties in the U.S.

All property types and classes are defined using a combination of factors, including age, amenities, and location. Space configuration and tenancies are also important criteria in evaluating retail properties. While there generally is an important correlation between age and property class, other factors are often more important in determining property class, particularly in the retail, hospitality and CBD office sectors.

Third Quarter 2004



Other Property Sectors and Markets

MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR PR	AVERAGE ICE PER SF	MARKET	PROPERTY SECTOR PRI	AVERAGE CE PER SF
SAN DIEGO	Class B Suburban Office	\$178.22	TAMPA-	Class B Suburban Office	\$103.80
	Class B Anchored Shopping Ctr.	105.59	ST. PETERSBURG	Class B Warehouse	29.75
	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	148.24		Class C Warehouse	24.30
	Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr	94.82		Class C Apartment	36.76
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	136.44		Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	62.14
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	91.30		Class A Flex/Business Campus	79.94
	Class C Flex/Business Campus	68.39		Class B Flex/Business Campus	68.46
SAN FRANCISCO	Class B CBD Office	251.42	TUCSON	Class B Warehouse	28.38
	Class C CBD Office	176.79		Class A Self-Storage	49.29
	Class D CBD Office	78.72		Class B/C Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	69.94
				Class A Apartment	68.68
SAN JOSE	Class B Flex/Business Campus	120.02		Class B Apartment	50.42
	Class C Flex/Business Campus	90.01			
	Class B/C R&D	62.06	VANCOUVER,	Class A Warehouse	58.16
			CANADA	Class A Flex/Business Campus	106.38
SEATTLE	Class B Suburban Office	158.76			
	Class B Warehouse	37.77	VENTURA COUNTY	Class A Apartment	173.01
	Class A Self-Storage	80.30			
	Class A Unanchored "Strip" Ctr.	185.65	WASHINGTON DC	Class B CBD Office	265.21
	Class A Power Retail Center	172.00		Class C CBD Office	199.30
	Class A Flex/Business Campus	116.10		Class B Suburban Office	173.80
	Class B Flex/Business Campus	85.82		Class C Suburban Office	123.47
				Class D Suburban Office	74.40
SONOMA	Class A Suburban Office	149.26		Class A Power Retail Center	258.24
COUNTY	Class A Warehouse	49.54		Class C Apartment	80.48
				Class D Apartment	53.75
STOCKTON-	Class A Warehouse	40.85		Class A Flex/Business Campus	133.49
MODESTO	Class A Apartment	82.03		Class B Flex/Business Campus	98.78
				Class C Flex/Business Campus	66.12
TACOMA-	Class A Suburban Office	159.03			
OLYMPIA			W. PALM BEACH	Class A Flex/Business Campus	110.51
				Class B Flex/Business Campus	68.56

The above figures reflect property transactions collected through the third quarter 2004. Only average price data is reported. Data was not collected in all property categories in all markets. Any foreign market prices reported reflect average cost in U.S. dollars at the time of sale. Foreign properties may not have exactly the same physical dimensions or amenities as comparable-class properties in the U.S.

All property types and classes are defined using a combination of factors, including age, amenities, and location. Space configuration and tenancies are also important criteria in evaluating retail properties. While there generally is an important correlation between age and property class, other factors are often more important in determining property class, particularly in the retail, hospitality and CBD office sectors.



PRIMARY REAL ESTATE MARKET MAKERS Third Quarter 2004

Contributors of property-level data to the National Real Estate Index (INDEX) are major players in the real estate industry. In addition to CB Richard Ellis, the single largest contributor of property-level data, the following companies also provide data on their real estate activity in the markets indicated for compilation of INDEX composite statistics.

Advantis (Atlanta, Jacksonville, Norfolk, Orlando)

AEGON USA (Memphis, Nashville, Tampa Bay)

Belvedere Corporation (Cincinnati)

Birmingham Realty (Birmingham)

Broderick Group (Seattle)

Carter/ONCOR (Atlanta, Orlando, Tampa Bay)

CB Richard Ellis (Albuquerque, Atlanta, Austin, Boston, Central Jersey, Charlotte, Chicago, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, El Paso, Fort Lauderdale, Hartford, Honolulu, Jacksonville, Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Memphis, Miami, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Nashville, Newark, Norfolk, NY Midtown, Oakland, Orange County, Orlando, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Pittsburgh, Portland, Raleigh-Durham, Riverside-San Bernardino, Sacramento, Salt Lake City, San Diego, San Francisco, San Jose, Seattle, Stamford, Tampa Bay, Tulsa, West Palm Beach)

CB Richard Ellis—former Insignia ESG offices (Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Denver, Greenville, Los Angeles, Miami, Nassau/Suffolk, NY Midtown, Norfolk, Oakland, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Pittsburgh, San Jose, Tampa Bay)

Childress Klein (Atlanta, Charlotte)

Cincinnati Commercial (Cincinnati)

Clarion Realty (Atlanta, Denver, Houston, Minneapolis)

Codina Realty (Fort Lauderdale, Miami)

Cohen Esrey New America (Kansas City)

Coldwell Banker (Greenville, Oklahoma City)

Colliers Dow & Condon (Hartford, Sonoma County)

Colliers International (Atlanta, Cincinnati, Las Vegas, Sacramento, Salt Lake City, Seattle)

Colliers Turley Martin Tucker (Indianapolis, St. Louis)

Colliers, Bennett & Kahnweiler (Chicago)
Colonial Properties (Birmingham)
Colton Company (Orange County, Tucson)
Columbus Commercial Realty (Columbus)

Cousins Properties (Atlanta, Charlotte, Winston-Salem)

Crescent Real Estate (Austin, Dallas/Fort Worth, Houston)

Cushman & Wakefield (Boston, Dallas/Fort Worth, Hartford, Houston, Los Angeles, Minneapolis, Orange County, Orlando, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Portland, St. Louis, Tampa Bay, Vallejo/Fairfield)

Cushman Realty (Fort Lauderdale)

Daniel Corporation (Birmingham)

Don Casto Company (Cincinnati, Columbus, Dayton)

Duke Weeks Realty (Cincinnati, Indianapolis)
Eason, Graham & Sander (Birmingham)

Equity Office (Albuquerque, Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Denver, Houston, Los Angeles, Minneapolis, New Orleans, Portland, Sacramento, San Diego, San Francisco, San Jose, Seattle, Stamford)

First Industrial (Atlanta, Tampa Bay)

Flocke & Avoyer (San Diego)

Forest City Enterprises (Cleveland, Pittsburgh)

Gerald Gamble & Company (Oklahoma City)

Grubb & Ellis (Albuquerque, Boston, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Columbus, Detroit, Honolulu, Los Angeles, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, Nashville, Oklahoma City, Orlando, Phoenix, Portland, Riverside/SB, Sacramento, St. Louis, Tacoma/Olympia, West Palm Beach)

Hilton Realty (Central Jersey)

Hines Management (Columbus, Detroit, Houston, Miami, Minneapolis, San Francisco, Washington DC)

Inland Companies (Milwaukee)

IRT Property (Atlanta, Fort Lauderdale, New Orleans, Tampa Bay, Winston-Salem)

Jones Lang LaSalle (Atlanta, Denver, Houston, Miami, Sacramento)

Kimco Realty (Cincinnati, Miami, Nassau/Suffolk, Philadelphia)

KLNB Realtors (Baltimore)

Koger Equity (Austin, Greenville, San Antonio, Tampa Bay)

Lat Purser & Associates (Jacksonville, Winston-Salem)

Liberty Property Trust (Detroit, Philadelphia)

Lincoln Equities (Central Jersey, Nassau/Suffolk, Newark)

Lincoln Property Company (Austin, Boston, Chicago, Houston, New Orleans)

Mack-Cali Realty (Central Jersey, New York, Newark, Philadelphia)

Pan Pacific Retail Property (Las Vegas, Los Angeles, Portland, Sacramento, San Jose)

PCS Developments (New Orleans)

Price Edwards (Oklahoma City)

Regency Centers (Denver, Oakland, Portland, Seattle, Tampa Bay)

RL Pratt (Los Angeles)

Rouse Company (Baltimore, Washington DC)

Rubinstein Real Estate (Kansas City)

Schnitzer Northwest (Seattle)

Skinner & Broadbent (Indianapolis, Memphis)

Soroush Kaboli, Inc. (San Francisco)

Stream Realty (Dallas/Fort Worth)

The Crosland Group (Charlotte)

The Gustine Company (Pittsburgh)

TrammellCrow (Austin, Baltimore, Boston, Charlotte, Denver, Houston, Indianapolis, Jacksonville, Memphis, Nashville, Oklahoma City, Philadelphia, Phoenix, Portland, San Antonio, San Diego, Seattle, Tampa Bay, Tulsa)

Transwestern Property (Baltimore, Denver, Los Angeles, New Orleans, Orange County, San Antonio)

Travis Commercial (San Antonio)

TrizecHahn Inc. (Columbus, Dallas/Fort Worth, Detroit, Houston, Tulsa)

Unico Properties (Seattle)

Walters-Gottlieb Partners (West Palm Beach)
Weingarten Realty (Atlanta, Houston, Kansas
City)

Welsh Companies (Columbus, Dayton, Minneapolis)

Wiggin Properties (Oklahoma City, Tulsa)
Woodbury Corporation (Salt Lake City)

METHODOLOGY

The National Real Estate Index (INDEX) reports data on large income-producing properties leased, bought and sold nationwide. As in prior Market Monitor publications, the new INDEX Value Monitor compiles and reports average prices and capitalization rates for Class A properties in local and regional markets throughout the United States. Prices and capitalization ("cap") rates for the Class A CBD (i.e., "downtown") office, suburban office, warehouse/distribution, anchored enclosed shopping center, and apartment sectors are reported. In addition, Class B apartment values and cap rates are compiled in all markets as well. The specific market data reported in the Value Monitor are described below.

Value Monitor Property Prices: Generally, the average property prices that appear for each of the 58 regularly-reported local markets in the Value Monitor (and Market History Report) are derived from current or historical property sales transactions in the underlying local markets. Nationwide, the National Real Estate Index typically compiles more than 1,000 transactions of large improved properties each period, many of which are utilized to formulate local market benchmark prices. In any given period, a number of local market property prices are derived solely from property sales. Typically, in such cases, benchmark prices will reflect activity over more than one period (i.e., a "rolling quarter" average).

In those markets and property sectors where there is inadequate current investment activity to justify reporting a pure transaction-based price, the benchmark value is formulated based on historical sales data and current market factors, including the operating performance of "like-kind" properties within the respective market and property sector. We realize, of course, that this necessitates an element of "informed judgment" but disparities in local market size and liquidity over time sometimes dictate a modified, hybrid approach.

Value Monitor Cap Rates: Like the prices, the market benchmark capitalization rates are based on current or historical property sales. The cap rate data reported in the Value Monitor is intended to reflect recent operating income, rather than forward-looking (i.e., "pro forma") performance. Where the data are available, the cap rates incorporate property-level operating income on consummated sales within the respective marketplaces. However, in many instances, these data either are not available, or the "robustness" of the reported income data is questionable. In those cases, cap rates are derived from the average benchmark property price (which is based on current period or historical transactions) and a representative market-wide operating income proxy for that property type. Because of these data-based qualifications, the cap rates are the most volatile of the data reported by the INDEX and, therefore, are perhaps most useful in determining market directions and trends.

Supplemental Value Monitor: In addition to the benchmark prices and rents for the 58 regularly-reported markets and six property sectors, the Value Monitor, like its predecessor publication, also reports average prices for other property categories and classes of properties. These market benchmarks, which appear in the Supplemental Value Monitor section of this report, are compiled and formulated on an "ad hoo" basis. That is, average prices are reported only in those geographical markets and property sectors/classes in which the publisher believes a sufficient sample of actual sales transactions has occurred. In that sense, these values reflect pure transaction-based prices. Consequently, the markets and property sectors reported here will vary; no two successive issues will necessarily report the same geographical markets and property classes. Nevertheless, we believe that these data constitute an important component of our Value Monitor, especially in an investment environment as active as this one has been.

CBD Office: Class A Central Business District office properties are, generally, ten stories or greater in size, steel frame (or other high quality) construction, and possess a high quality, modern exterior finish and glass application. Properties are located in the CBD or, in a few markets (see the footnotes for each region), a submarket recognized as a primary office location. The age (i.e., year of construction) of Class A CBD office properties is often more variable across local markets than for other property types. Please see the *Supplemental Value Monitor* section for descriptions of other CBD office classes reported.

Suburban Office: Class A suburban office properties are generally multi-story and/or located in a premiere business park. Generally, transacted properties, regardless of configuration, are located in established suburban office submarkets or, in some instances, city market areas outside of the recognized CBD submarket. Class A suburban office properties often were built more recently (generally in the past 15 years) than their urban "CBD" counterparts. Average Class B suburban office prices can be found for many markets in the *Supplemental Value Monitor*.

Warehouse/Distribution: In the Industrial sector, the INDEX emphasizes space originally designed and used for true warehouse/distribution or storage as the property norm. Typically, no more than 25% of the total space is office build-out, and most buildings contain a minimum of 50,000 square feet and are located in a quality industrial park or other superior location. Please see the Supplemental Value Monitor section for descriptions of other warehouse classes, as well as the important flex/business campus sector.

Retail: A neighborhood or community center, rather than an enclosed mall, is the property norm for the Class A retail sector reported in the *Value Monitor*. The typical center is 75,000–250,000 square feet and contains at least one major anchor tenant, usually a high quality national or regional grocery/drug store. Properties are located in established neighborhoods, both urban and suburban. Again, "power" retail centers, as well as other retail space configurations, are reported in the *Supplemental Value Monitor*.

Apartment: Generally, Class A transacted apartments contain at least 50 units and have amenities appropriate for the geographic region. Unless otherwise indicated (see the Supplemental Value Monitor), the property price and cap rate benchmarks reported in the Value Monitor reflect garden- or campus-style apartments. Class A apartment properties typically have been built or extensively rehabilitated in the past 15 years. Class B apartment properties sales compiled by the INDEX generally were built or extensively rehabilitated from 15 to 25 years ago. Once again, please see the Supplemental Value Monitor for other residential property classes.

Note: As for most statistical data services, previously-reported data is revised as needed to reflect the receipt of new data. We believe this approach helps assure the most reliable data over the long-term. In particular, all data reported in the current period should be considered preliminary.

VALUE MONITOR



PUBLISHER

GLOBAL REAL ANALYTICS

Richard Wollack Chairman & CEO

Daniel O'Connor Managing Director— Global Forecasting/ Real Estate Research James Sempere Chief Operating Officer

Paul Wildes
Director—Marketing

RESEARCH/PRODUCTION

Terry DemingDirector—Data Acquisition &
Market Research

Jack Doyle
Director—Property Research

Bianka Noguera

Data Research & Accounting

Loubna Saleh

Data Management & Research

Axenia Velitchev Research Analyst Tamu Dawson
Senior Production Editor

Ashley Dickerson Production & Data Management

Joshua Tokle Analyst & Information Technology

NATIONAL REAL ESTATE INDEX publications are published quarterly by GRA Publishing, LLC 505 Montgomery Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111.

(800) 992-7257, www.nrei.info

Copyright © 2004 by National Real Estate Index.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

INDEX Value Monitor Service: An annual subscription to the *Value Monitor* includes four quarterly issues.

One Year Subscription Rate: \$495.

Important: The INDEX is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering tax, accounting, or other professional advice through this publication. No statement in this issue is to be construed as a recommendation or as investment advice to buy or sell any securities or other investments. Real estate is generally a long-term, illiquid investment and requires careful consideration of financial objectives and independent research before investing.

The underlying data and information used as a basis for presentation in this publication has been obtained from third party sources considered to be reliable, but who are not required to make representations as to the accuracy of the data and information. Further, this and other information derived from independent market research is interpreted by INDEX staff in order to draw certain conclusions and estimates regarding U.S. and individual market trends and conditions. The amount of data and information may vary from period to period, depending on the number of transactions compiled or survey property rents reported to us by contributors, as well as the overall level of market activity. Thus, the sample size and comparisons vary from quarter to quarter. Therefore, the INDEX does not guarantee the accuracy of the information.

Reproduction, photocopying or incorporation into any information retrieval system for external or internal use is prohibited unless written permission is obtained beforehand from the publisher for each article. The subscription fee entitles the subscriber to one original only. Group (i.e., "Enterprise") subscriptions are available, often at discounted rates.

Subscription Information: For information on The National Real Estate Index family of publications (or if you have questions regarding these policies), please call us at [800] 992-7257 ext. 2, or visit our website at www.nrei.info.

MARKETS COVERED Volume 77



CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles Oakland-East Bay Orange County Riverside-San Bernardino Sacramento

San Diego San Francisco San Jose Honolulu

WEST

Denver Las Vegas Portland Salt Lake City Seattle

SOUTHWEST

Albuquerque Austin Dallas-Ft. Worth El Paso Houston Oklahoma City Phoenix San Antonio Tulsa

SOUTHEAST

Atlanta Birmingham Charlotte

Greensboro/Winston-Salem Greenville-Spartanburg

Memphis Nashville New Orleans Norfolk Raleigh-Durham Richmond

FLORIDA

Ft. Lauderdale Jacksonville Miami Orlando

Tampa-St. Petersburg West Palm Beach

MIDWEST

Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbus Detroit Indianapolis Kansas City Milwaukee Minneapolis-St. Paul

St. Louis

Central New Jersey Hartford Manhattan Downtown Manhattan Midtown Nassau-Suffolk Newark-Northern New Jersey Philadelphia Pittsburgh Stamford-South CT

Washington, DC

NORTHEAST

Baltimore

Boston

INDEX ADVISORY BOARD

RICHARD M. BLUMENTHAL

Senior Vice President/Counsel

Stewart Title

GEOFFREY DOHRMAN

Editor & Publisher

The Institutional Real Estate Letter

ANTHONY DOWNS

Senior Fellow Brookings Institute

ROBERT H. EDELSTEIN

Professor, Business Administration Center for Real Estate & Urban

Economics

University of California, Berkeley

MICHAEL L. EVANS

Partner Ernst & Young LEWIS M. GOODKIN President

Goodkin Consulting Corp.

SANFORD R. GOODKIN

Chairman

Sanford R. Goodkin & Associates

MARK HOEWING

Executive Director NACORE International

RONALD KAISER

Senior Vice President & Director Bailard, Biehl & Kaiser

RONALD A. KARP

Principal

Ronald A. Karp & Assoc.

GEORGE MARCUS

Chairman

Marcus & Millichap

ROBERT M. MAYNARD

Chief Investment Officer Public Employees Retirement

System of Idaho

MARK OBRINSKY

Chief Economist National Multi-Housing Council

DAMON RAIKE

Chairman of the Board Damon Raike and Company

PAUL SAYLOR

Founder and Chairman Chadwick, Saylor, Inc.

D. ELLEN SHUMAN

Vice President & Chief Investment Officer

Carnegie Corporation of New York

JAMES R. WEBB, PH.D.

Executive Director,

American Real Estate Society Director of the Center for the Study of Real Estate Brokerage and

Markets

Cleveland State University

