

Metro Market OUTLOOK



Detroit

Vol. 43
THIRD QUARTER 2004

ANALYZES:

CBD Office
Suburban Office
Industrial
Retail
Apartments

REPORTS:

Property Prices
Property Rents
Market Forecasts (NEW)
Demographic Highlights
Local Economy
Labor Force Characteristics
Educational Achievement
Tax Structure
Housing & Living Costs
Quality of Life Factors

A publication of the NATIONAL REAL ESTATE INDEX

REPORT FORMAT

Vol. 43

Metro Market Outlook ("MMO") replaces the previous Metro Market Facts publication. While maintaining the basic "facts" components of the previous report, the new MMO has been reorganized to place the pertinent real estate information and commentary up front and adds a new **market forecast component**—hence the new name. MMO is now organized into two primary parts as follows:

Part 1—Real Estate Facts and Forecast presents an overview of key real estate facts including values, rents, cap rates, inventory, vacancy and absorption trends and includes a new section presenting a look-ahead forecast for the market, by property sector.

- Section I:** Local market price, and capitalization rate trends for the preceding 12 months.
- Section II:** Review of rent facts and trends for the local market by property sectors.
- Section III:** Inventory, vacancy, absorption and sales information for various property sectors.
- Section IV:** Market forecasts projecting a ranking of the investment potential for the market, by property sector, as compared to national averages. (This is a new section.)

Part 2—Metro Market Facts provides an in-depth look (generally in a tabular format) at the key economic, demographic, public policy, and quality of life factors that can affect the demand for real estate.

- Section V:** Snapshot highlighting the key economic, demographic and real estate-related findings.
- Section VI:** Local economic base and current labor force and job formation trends.
- Section VII:** Provides various educational costs and parameters.
- Section VIII:** Explores local living costs.
- Section IX:** Reports other important factors, including retail sales trends and international trade.
- Section X:** Local and state fiscal policies, including taxes and federal spending.
- Section XI:** Summarizes several key quality-of-life considerations.



Dynamic Reporting available where this symbol appears.

The National Real Estate Index has constructed a web-based interactive Dynamic Reporting tool that allows our premium service customers to customize their reported data in a wide variety of charting and graphing formats. The functionality is available to all full-year and enterprise-level subscribers.

For additional information on how to receive these services and pricing, please contact paul.wildes@nrei.info or call (800) 992-7257.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Market Definition	5
Metro Market Outlook—Regions/Local Markets	6
Metropolitan Map	7
Market Trends Summary	8

Real Estate Facts & Forecast

I. Value Facts & Trends

A. Current Property Values/Cap Rates	9
B. Value Trends	9
C. Other Property Class Values	10
D. Local Market Property Value Rankings	10
E. Value Growth	11

II. Rent Facts & Trends

A. Current Rent Facts	12
B. Rent Trends	12
C. Local Market Property Rent Rankings	13
D. Rent Growth	13

III. Inventory, Vacancy, Absorption & Sales

A. Office	14
B. Industrial	14
C. Metropolitan Retail Sales Trends	15
D. Metropolitan Average Retail Sales	15

IV. Market Forecast

A. Market•Score by Sector	16
B. Current Market•Scores	17
C. Market•Score Trends	17
D. Market Outlook Summary	18

Metro Market Facts

V. Demographics

A. Metro Population	19
B. County Population Growth	19
C. State Population Growth	19
D. Area Cities With At Least 50,000 Residents	20
E. Household & Population Composition	20
F. Metropolitan Population Gain	21
G. International Immigration to Metropolitan Areas	22
H. Domestic Migration to Metropolitan Areas	23

VI. Employment and Labor Force Characteristics

A. Metropolitan Job Formation	24
B. Economic Base - Employment by Sectors	24
C. Metropolitan Total Employment Gain (10-Year)	25
D. Average Annual Wages - Select Occupations	26
E. Production Wages & Union Membership	26
F. State Workers' Compensation Premiums	27
G. State Minimum Wage/Overtime Status	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Metro Market Facts (continued)

VII. Education

A. Educational Levels	28
B. Educational Expenditures and Salaries	28
C. Graduate Education	29
D. University R&D Expenditures	29

VIII. Housing & Living Costs

A. Overall Cost of Living	30
B. Metropolitan Housing Permits	30
C. Homeownership	31
D. Single Family Home Costs	31
E. Local Utility Costs	32
F. Health Insurance	32

IX. Other Economic Indicators

A. Metropolitan Median Household Income	33
B. High-Tech Industry Employment	33
C. Metropolitan Area Patents	34
D. Major Airport Activity	34
E. International Trade	35
F. Gross State Product	35
G. Gross Metropolitan Product	36

X. Taxes and Expenditures

A. State Tax Rates/Employer Expenses	37
B. Local Residential Property Taxes	37
C. Local Commercial Property Taxes	38
D. Tourism & Entertainment Taxes	38
E. State Tax Revenue	39
F. Federal Spending Per Capita	39

XI. Quality of Life

A. State Livability Index	40
B. Crime Rate	40
C. Climate	41
D. Mode of Travel to Work	41
E. Congestion Index	42
F. Major Professional Athletic Franchises	42

Methodology	43
--------------------	-----------

Market•Score Methodology/Rating Explanation	44
--	-----------

Additional Data Resources/Web Links	45
--	-----------

Contact Info/Other Metro Market Reports Available	46
--	-----------

DETROIT: MARKET DEFINITION

The tenth-largest city in the nation, Detroit has long been known for being the center of major U.S. auto manufacturing. Government and the health-care industry have now surpassed the auto industry in terms of employment, though. The Detroit area is home to such corporations as General Motors, Ford Motor Company, Kellogg, Kmart, Dow Chemicals and the Herman Miller National Design Center.



Detroit PMSA Includes:

Lapeer County	Oakland County
Macomb County	St. Clair County
Monroe County	Wayne County

METRO MARKET OUTLOOK— REGIONS/LOCAL MARKETS

CALIFORNIA

Los Angeles
Oakland-East Bay
Orange County
Riverside-San Bernardino
Sacramento
San Diego
San Francisco
San Jose
Honolulu, HI

WEST

Denver
Las Vegas
Portland
Salt Lake City
Seattle

SOUTHWEST

Albuquerque
Austin
Dallas-Ft. Worth
Houston
Oklahoma City
Phoenix

MIDWEST

Chicago
Cincinnati
Cleveland
Columbus
Detroit
Indianapolis
Kansas City
Milwaukee
Minneapolis-St. Paul
St. Louis

SOUTHEAST


Atlanta
Charlotte
Greenville-Spartanburg
Memphis
Nashville
Raleigh-Durham

NORTHEAST

Baltimore
Boston
Central New Jersey
Hartford
New York/Nassau-Suffolk
Northern New Jersey
Philadelphia
Washington


FLORIDA

Ft. Lauderdale
Jacksonville
Miami
Orlando
Tampa-St. Petersburg
West Palm Beach



DETROIT: METROPOLITAN MAP

Land Area of Detroit PMSA:	4,466 sq. miles
Population (2003):	4,461,600
Population Density (2003):	999 people per sq. mile



DETROIT: MARKET TRENDS SUMMARY

CBD OFFICE

Detroit's downtown office sector has a \$17.88 per square foot effective rent for Class A office, which is 0.4% lower than last quarter and 4.2% lower than last year. This metro has a \$107.62 per square foot average price for CBD office, which is 1.3% lower than last quarter and 4.3% lower than last year. The metro has a 24.2% CBD office vacancy rate, which is 0.6% lower than last quarter and 0.3% lower than last year.

SUBURBAN OFFICE

The metro of Detroit has a \$19.86 per square foot effective rent for Class A suburban office, which is 0.6% lower than last quarter and 2.9% lower than last year. This metro has a \$137.27 per square foot average price for suburban office, which is 0.3% lower than last quarter and 5.1% lower than last year. The metro has a 20.4% suburban office vacancy rate, which is 0.4% higher than last quarter and even with last year.

WAREHOUSE

The metro of Detroit has a \$5.12 per square foot effective rent for warehouse, which is 0.8% lower than last quarter and 1.2% lower than last year. This metro has a \$40.29 per square foot average price for warehouse, which is 0.4% lower than last quarter and 1.6% lower than last year. The metro has a 13.0% industrial vacancy rate, which is 0.1% higher than last quarter and 2.0% higher than last year.

RETAIL

The metro of Detroit has a \$16.86 per square foot effective rent for Class A unenclosed shopping centers, which is 0.8% higher than last quarter and 0.3% higher than last year. This metro has a \$128.70 per square foot average price for shopping centers, which is 1.6% higher than last quarter and 5.9% higher than last year.

APARTMENT

The metro of Detroit has a \$10.93 per square foot effective rent for Class A apartments, which is 0.5% lower than last quarter and 2.1% lower than last year. This metro has an \$84.21 per square foot average price for apartments, which is 0.5% lower than last quarter and 1.4% higher than last year.

Source: NREI *Rent Monitor*; *Value Monitor*; and *Metro Market Outlook*, Section III. Historical data taken from prior quarter and year-ago NREI *Metro Market Facts* reports.

Real Estate Facts & Forecast



I. VALUE FACTS & TRENDS


A. Current Property Values/Cap Rates

	CBD OFFICE		SUBURBAN OFFICE		WAREHOUSE		RETAIL		CLASS A APARTMENT		CLASS B APARTMENT	
	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate	Price S/F	Cap Rate
National	\$218.90	7.4%	\$181.86	7.7%	\$48.96	8.2%	\$142.56	8.0%	\$117.60	6.9%	\$80.87	7.7%
Midwest Region	149.71	8.3	139.47	8.5	40.34	8.7	125.98	8.5	90.80	7.0	63.33	7.6
Metro Area												
3 Q. '04	107.62	9.4	137.27	8.2	40.29	9.5	128.70	8.5	84.21	7.9	54.74	8.5
2 Q. '04	109.00	9.3	137.69	8.2	40.44	9.5	126.69	8.6	84.67	7.9	55.35	8.5
3 Q. '03	112.41	9.2	144.69	7.9	40.96	9.4	121.48	9.0	83.04	8.1	55.77	8.6



Source: NREI Value Monitor.

B. Value Trends—Change in Price Per Square Foot



Source: NREI Value Monitor.

I. VALUE FACTS & TRENDS

C. Other Property Class Values—Most Recent Period

PROPERTY SECTOR	PRICE PER SF
Class C Warehouse	\$22.81
Class B Flex/Business Campus	79.31

Source: NREI Value Monitor.

D. Local Market Property Value Rankings

3rd Qtr. 2004 - Rankings
(#1 is highest rank, #60 is lowest)

	CBD OFFICE	SUBURBAN OFFICE	WAREHOUSE	RETAIL	CLASS A APARTMENT	CLASS B APARTMENT
Rank out of 60 Markets*	50	34	33	34	29	33

*The figures above denote the local market ranking for the corresponding property sector among the 60 metropolitan areas (plus Manhattan Downtown and Manhattan Midtown for CBD office) analyzed by the NREI Value Monitor. A ranking of "1" designates the highest value per square foot for Class A space (except for Class B Apartment) for the corresponding property sector among all markets for the noted time period.

Source: NREI Value Monitor.

I. VALUE FACTS & TRENDS

E. Value Growth—Change in Price Per Square Foot

	CBD OFFICE	SUBURBAN OFFICE	WAREHOUSE	RETAIL	CLASS A APARTMENT	CLASS B APARTMENT
% CHANGE FROM <u>QUARTER AGO</u>						
Detroit	-1.3%	-0.3%	-0.4%	+1.6%	-0.5%	-1.1%
Midwest Region	-0.1%	+0.6%	+1.2%	+1.2%	+0.9%	+1.7%
National Average	+0.5%	+0.4%	+1.1%	+1.4%	+1.2%	+1.3%
% CHANGE FROM <u>YEAR AGO</u>						
Detroit	-4.3%	-5.1%	-1.6%	+5.9%	+1.4%	-1.9%
Midwest Region	-1.9%	-4.6%	+1.4%	+6.5%	+4.5%	+4.8%
National Average	+3.3%	+0.9%	+3.7%	+6.6%	+4.4%	+5.3%
% CHANGE FROM <u>TWO YEARS AGO</u>						
Detroit	-4.3%	-5.1%	-1.6%	+5.9%	+5.2%	+4.5%
Midwest Region	-3.9%	-4.6%	+2.9%	+16.1%	+9.9%	+10.1%
National Average	+2.2%	+0.8%	+11.2%	+16.4%	+10.3%	+11.1%

Source: NREI Value Monitor.

II. RENT FACTS & TRENDS


A. Current Property Rents

	CBD OFFICE			SUBURBAN OFFICE			WAREHOUSE			RETAIL			CLASS A APARTMENT			CLASS B APARTMENT		
	Asking sf	Effective sf	Free %	Asking sf	Effective sf	Free %	Asking sf	Effective sf	Free %	Asking sf	Effective sf	Free %	Asking sf	Effective sf	Free %	Asking sf	Effective sf	Free %
National	\$28.48	\$27.24	4.6%	\$22.72	\$21.56	5.2%	\$5.51	\$5.32	3.6%	\$18.46	\$18.17	1.6%	\$14.81	\$14.17	4.6%	\$11.51	\$11.09	4.1%
Midwest Region	23.28	21.89	6.1	20.67	19.37	6.3	4.93	4.73	4.1	17.00	16.70	1.8	11.90	11.23	5.8	9.29	8.78	5.4
Metro Area																		
3 Q. '04	19.31	17.88	7.4	21.22	19.86	6.4	5.36	5.12	4.4	17.12	16.86	1.5	11.58	10.93	5.6	8.43	8.01	5.0
2 Q. '04	19.30	17.95	7.0	21.39	19.98	6.6	5.39	5.16	4.3	17.01	16.72	1.7	11.69	10.99	6.0	8.61	8.10	5.9
3 Q. '03	20.47	18.67	8.8	22.21	20.46	7.9	5.40	5.18	4.0	17.03	16.81	1.3	11.62	11.17	3.9	8.77	8.27	5.7



Source: NREI Rent Monitor.

B. Rent Trends/Change in Rent Per Square Foot



Source: NREI Rent Monitor.

II. RENT FACTS & TRENDS

C. Local Market Property Rent Rankings

3rd Qtr. 2004 - Rankings
(#1 is highest rank, #60 is lowest)

	CBD OFFICE	SUBURBAN OFFICE	WAREHOUSE	RETAIL	CLASS A APARTMENT	CLASS B APARTMENT
Rank out of 60 Markets*	48	27	21	29	28	33

*The figures above denote the local market ranking for the corresponding property sector among the 60 metro-politan areas (plus Manhattan Downtown and Manhattan Midtown for CBD office) analyzed by the NREI Rent Monitor. A ranking of "1" designates the highest *effective* rent per square foot for Class A space (except for Class B Apartment) for the corresponding property sector among all markets for the noted time period.

Source: NREI Rent Monitor.

D. Rent Growth/Change in Rent Per Square Foot

	CBD OFFICE	SUBURBAN OFFICE	WAREHOUSE	RETAIL	CLASS A APARTMENT	CLASS B APARTMENT
% CHANGE FROM <u>QUARTER AGO</u>						
Detroit	-0.4%	-0.6%	-0.8%	+0.8%	-0.5%	-1.1%
Midwest Region	-0.6%	-0.9%	-0.1%	+1.0%	-0.1%	+0.5%
National Average	-0.3%	-0.8%	+0.3%	+1.0%	+0.6%	+0.8%
% CHANGE FROM <u>YEAR AGO</u>						
Detroit	-4.2%	-2.9%	-1.2%	+0.3%	-2.1%	-3.1%
Midwest Region	-5.1%	-3.9%	-1.4%	+3.1%	-1.2%	-0.5%
National Average	-2.5%	-3.1%	+0.4%	+3.1%	+0.5%	+1.4%
% CHANGE FROM <u>TWO YEARS AGO</u>						
Detroit	-4.2%	-2.9%	-1.2%	+0.3%	+1.8%	-3.1%
Midwest Region	-11.8%	-10.3%	-7.8%	+7.6%	-4.1%	-4.2%
National Average	-10.9%	-9.4%	-1.9%	+4.4%	-1.1%	+0.1%

Source: NREI Rent Monitor.

III. INVENTORY/VACANCY/ ABSORPTION & SALES

A. Office

METRO SUBMARKETS	INVENTORY	VACANCY	ABSORPTION	CONSTRUCTION
Ann Arbor	4,011,135	14.0%	8,093	120,000
Auburn Hills	941,906	9.9%	6,943	0
Birmingham/Bloomfield	3,752,812	15.4%	75,187	0
Dearborn	3,488,413	17.6%	(41,522)	0
Farmington/W. Bloomfield	5,758,327	15.9%	61,309	0
I-275 Corridor	4,785,514	20.1%	(88,449)	61,000
Rochester	658,661	13.7%	(6,487)	68,000
Southfield	15,897,839	25.4%	7,185	84,000
Troy	13,857,198	21.3%	(147,383)	0
Macomb	1,367,331	20.7%	31,394	24,000
Other	2,037,521	23.8%	(11,633)	0
Downtown Detroit	14,280,994	24.2%	98,621	0
Market Total	70,870,651	21.2%	(6,742)	357,000

Reported as of third quarter 2004.



Source: CB Richard Ellis (Detroit).

B. Industrial

METRO SUBMARKETS	INVENTORY	AVAILABILITY	CONSTRUCTION
Macomb County	96,078,654	12.9%	289,351
I-75 Corridor	77,749,523	12.2%	211,122
Southeast Oakland County	13,546,869	9.9%	150,000
Northwest Suburbs	54,864,641	13.3%	902,937
Western Wayne County	86,138,345	13.3%	320,199
Downriver	69,793,785	12.5%	391,622
Detroit	118,017,395	14.6%	0
Washtenaw County	19,955,271	9.1%	381,109
Market Total	536,144,483	13.0%	2,646,340

Reported as of third quarter 2004.



Source: CB Richard Ellis (Detroit).

III. INVENTORY/VACANCY/ ABSORPTION & SALES

C. Metropolitan Retail Sales Trends


Metropolitan Retail Sales -- % Change from Prior Year
(September 2004)

	MONTH	FYTD
Detroit-Warren-Livonia	(5.5%)	0.3%
National	(0.7%)	2.0%

This data reflects same-store sales at major department stores during the current month and fiscal year to date (beginning in February) compared to the same period one year ago.

Source: International Council of Shopping Centers, New York, NY.

D. Metropolitan Average Retail Sales Per Household



*The U.S. Metro figure represents the average retail sales per household of 323 metropolitan areas; \$34,036 is the average retail sales per household nationwide.

Market Rank: 28*

*Ranking of 57 metropolitan areas, with the #1 ranking representing the highest amount of retail sales per household.

Source: Sales & Marketing Management: 2003 Survey of Buying Power.

IV. MARKET FORECAST

A. Market•Score by Sector

SECTOR / MARKET	RELATIVE INVESTMENT POTENTIAL *	CURRENT QUARTER	RANK	QUARTER AGO	RANK	YEAR AGO	RANK	TWO YEARS AGO	RANK
CBD OFFICE									
Detroit	FAIR	69	63	69	59	64	66	60	68
Midwest Region	FAIR	73		75		77		74	
National Median	AVERAGE	81		80		80		77	
SUBURBAN OFFICE									
Detroit	FAIR	74	56	74	58	72	55	70	53
Midwest Region	AVERAGE	75		75		75		72	
National Median	AVERAGE	80		81		79		77	
INDUSTRIAL									
Detroit	AVERAGE	80	44	80	41	81	34	80	29
Midwest Region	AVERAGE	82		81		81		81	
National Median	AVERAGE	83		82		82		80	
RETAIL									
Detroit	AVERAGE	77	54	78	54	80	35	79	37
Midwest Region	AVERAGE	79		81		79		77	
National Median	AVERAGE	83		84		81		80	
APARTMENT									
Detroit	AVERAGE	80	39	79	43	77	37	76	34
Midwest Region	AVERAGE	80		78		76		76	
National Median	AVERAGE	82		81		78		77	
HOSPITALITY									
Detroit	AVERAGE	79	46	75	57	71	60	70	62
Midwest Region	AVERAGE	76		75		74		75	
National Median	AVERAGE	83		83		80		78	

The figures shown in the shaded fields above are Market•Scores corresponding to the noted property sector, market, and time period. Regional and national figures reflect the median score. The rankings are out of 66 metropolitan areas, with the #1 ranking representing the highest score.

Market•Score is a proprietary rating system developed in 1992 by Global Real Analytics to evaluate the relative economic strength of local property markets. Utilizing numerous real estate, economic, and demographic data factors, Market•Score identifies those markets likely to exhibit the strongest real estate performance within each respective property sector in the two succeeding years following each forecast period. See "Investment Potential" at the end of this publication for additional information on Market•Score, and property sector definitions.


*INVESTMENT POTENTIAL

Rating	Score
Excellent	93+
Good	86-92
Average	75-85
Fair	65-74
Speculative	Below 65




IV. MARKET FORECAST

B. Current Market•Scores



The "radar" graphs shown above indicate the current Market•Score rating for the indicated metro, as well as regional and national medians. Each graph contains six axes, representing the noted property sector, ranging from 60 to 95. Generally, the larger a shaded area is, the better the real estate performance is forecast to be. Simple visual comparison can be made among the indicated metro, regional, and national Market•Scores.

C. Market•Score Trends



The six graphs shown above are Market•Scores corresponding to the noted property sector, market, and time period. Market•Score is a proprietary rating system developed in 1992 by Global Real Analytics to evaluate the relative economic strength of local property markets. Utilizing numerous real estate, economic, and demographic data factors, Market•Score identifies those markets likely to exhibit the strongest real estate performance within each respective property sector in the two succeeding years following each forecast period. See "Investment Potential" at the end of this publication for additional information on Market•Score, and property sector definitions.

IV. MARKET FORECAST

D. Market Outlook Summary

CBD OFFICE

Improved net absorption is a first sign of improvement in the Detroit CBD office market. However, other indicators such as job growth, vacancy levels, and rental rate change all continue to rate among the worst in the country.

SUBURBAN OFFICE

Continued negative absorption and further rental rate declines in Detroit's suburban office market have driven vacancy levels even higher. Outlook for demand is weak as employment growth remains weak.

WAREHOUSE

Absorption of warehouse space has edged occupancy levels slightly higher, although vacancy rates still remain high. Rental rate declines have moderated, but job growth continues to lag in the manufacturing sector.

RETAIL

Continuing trends of slow population and employment growth are moderated by the low inventory of retail space. Even so, vacancy levels and rental rate growth trends have deteriorated further in recent quarters.

APARTMENT

Employment and population growth trends remain unfavorable. In the short term, occupancy levels remain relatively high, and rental rates momentum has moderated.

Metro Market Facts



V. DEMOGRAPHICS

A. Metro Population

	DETROIT	UNITED STATES
Population — 2003:	4,461,600	290,809,800
% Growth—2000–2003:	0.3%	3.1%
% Growth—1990–2000:	4.2%	13.4%
% Growth—1980–1990:	(2.8%)	9.8%



Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

B. County Population Growth

COUNTY	2003 POPULATION	% GROWTH 1990–2000	% GROWTH 2000–2003
Lapeer	91,314	18.1	3.4
Macomb	813,948	10.2	2.9
Monroe	150,673	9.6	2.9
Oakland	1,207,869	10.4	0.9
Saint Clair	169,063	13.1	2.7
Wayne	2,028,778	(2.5)	(1.5)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

C. State Population Growth

	2003 POPULATION	ACTUAL % GROWTH 1990–2003	STATE RANKING*	PROJECTED % GROWTH 1995–2025**	STATE RANKING*
Michigan	10,080,000	8.4	39	5.5	48
United States	290,809,800	16.9	N/A	35.1	N/A

*Ranking of all 50 states, plus the District of Columbia, with the #1 ranking representing the highest state population percentage growth.

**The projected growth rate was last estimated in 1997. Some states are close to or have already exceeded those projections. New estimates will be released in late 2004.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

V. DEMOGRAPHICS

D. Area Cities With At Least 50,000 Residents

CITY	2003 POPULATION	% GROWTH 1990-2000	% GROWTH 2000-2003
Canton*	85,548	36.0	8.0
Clinton*	95,555	11.1	0.1
Dearborn	96,670	9.8	(1.2)
Dearborn Heights	57,373	(4.0)	(1.5)
Detroit	911,402	(7.9)	(3.7)
Farmington Hills	80,874	9.9	(1.4)
Livonia	99,487	(0.4)	(1.0)
Macomb*	64,270	130.8	22.5
Novi	50,786	44.6	6.5
Pontiac	67,152	(5.0)	(0.7)
Redford*	50,614	(5.2)	(1.8)
Rochester Hills	68,754	11.3	(0.2)
Royal Oak	58,650	(6.8)	(2.0)
Shelby*	67,748	34.7	3.4
Southfield	77,488	3.3	(0.9)
St. Clair Shores	61,896	(8.0)	(1.5)
Sterling Heights	126,182	5.7	1.3
Taylor	65,589	(6.9)	(0.5)
Troy	81,071	11.2	0.1
Warren	136,016	(5.1)	(1.3)
Waterford*	72,440	8.1	0.5
West Bloomfield*	65,452	19.1	0.8
Westland	85,707	2.5	(1.0)

*These communities are technically defined as townships.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

E. Household & Population Composition

	DETROIT METRO	UNITED STATES METRO*
Median Household Size:	2.6	2.7
Median Age:	36.4	35.8
% of Population Under 5	7.0	7.5
% of Population 35 - 54	29.6	28.0
% of Population Over 64	11.9	12.8

*Average of U.S. metropolitan areas, not entire U.S.

V. DEMOGRAPHICS

F. Metropolitan % Population Gain (1990-2003)

POPULATION GROWTH

[% Gain/Loss, April 1990-July 2003]

Las Vegas	109.2%	Greenville-Spartanburg	19.8%
Austin	62.8	Columbus	18.7
Phoenix	60.5	Central New Jersey	17.6
Atlanta	50.8	San Diego	17.3
Raleigh-Durham	50.8	UNITED STATES	16.9
Orlando	47.2	Oklahoma City	16.8
West Palm Beach	40.9	Oakland-East Bay	16.8
Riverside-San Bernardino	40.7	Kansas City	16.5
Dallas-Ft. Worth	40.0	Memphis	16.0
Charlotte	38.9	Chicago	14.6
Denver	38.2	San Jose	12.1
Ft. Lauderdale	37.9	Los Angeles	11.4
Houston	35.4	Cincinnati	10.9
Portland	34.0	New York	10.2
Sacramento	31.1	Baltimore	9.8
Nashville	30.8	Newark-No. New Jersey	8.2
Jacksonville	30.1	Honolulu	7.9
Salt Lake City	29.2	Nassau-Suffolk	7.6
Albuquerque	27.0	Boston*	6.6
Washington, DC	23.9	Milwaukee	6.2
Orange County	22.7	St. Louis	5.9
Tampa-St. Petersburg	22.4	San Francisco	5.7
Seattle	21.8	Philadelphia	4.9
Minneapolis-St. Paul	21.5	Detroit	4.6
Indianapolis	21.3	Hartford*	3.9
Miami	20.9	Cleveland	1.8

*Updated populations are not yet available for Boston and Hartford. For these markets, changes are based on 2002 data.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

V. DEMOGRAPHICS

G. International Immigration to Metropolitan Areas

TOTAL INTERNATIONAL IMMIGRATION: (1993-2003)

	(000s)		(000s)
New York/Nassau-Suffolk	1,162.3	Portland	73.0
Los Angeles	977.3	Orlando	68.4
Chicago	477.8	West Palm Beach	65.7
Miami	405.7	Tampa-St. Petersburg	64.2
Newark-No. NJ	322.8	Las Vegas	64.2
Washington DC	313.8	Austin	51.8
Houston	288.8	Honolulu	45.9
Dallas-Ft. Worth	287.0	Baltimore	44.4
Orange County	264.7	Raleigh-Durham	41.3
Boston*	207.5	Salt Lake City	39.3
San Jose	199.9	Charlotte	34.9
San Francisco	181.0	St. Louis	34.8
San Diego	180.0	Hartford*	31.3
Oakland-East Bay	172.9	Kansas City	27.4
Atlanta	156.3	Cleveland	26.5
Riverside-San Bernardino	143.4	Columbus	25.2
Phoenix	131.2	Nashville	22.9
Ft. Lauderdale	126.7	Milwaukee	22.3
Philadelphia	113.4	Oklahoma City	22.1
Seattle	112.8	Indianapolis	18.9
Detroit	102.4	Jacksonville	17.9
Central New Jersey	97.2	Albuquerque	17.6
Denver	96.5	Cincinnati	16.5
Sacramento	79.3	Memphis	12.9
Minneapolis-St. Paul	73.3	Greenville-Spartanburg	12.3

*Migration/immigration data is not currently available for the Boston PMSA or the Hartford MSA. For these markets, the larger NECMA designations are used.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

V. DEMOGRAPHICS

H. Domestic Migration to Metropolitan Areas

TOTAL DOMESTIC MIGRATION: (1993-2003)

	(000s)		(000s)
Atlanta	510.4	Memphis	6.7
Phoenix	501.6	Seattle	6.4
Las Vegas	449.4	Cincinnati	3.4
Riverside-San Bernardino	273.7	Central New Jersey	(32.0)
Dallas-Ft. Worth	267.6	Salt Lake City	(32.2)
Tampa-St. Petersburg	227.2	Baltimore	(41.1)
Orlando	211.1	Hartford*	(50.6)
Charlotte	180.1	St. Louis	(60.8)
Austin	176.8	Washington DC	(66.6)
Raleigh-Durham	165.2	Milwaukee	(85.2)
Denver	155.2	Honolulu	(102.1)
Portland	154.6	Oakland-East Bay	(107.3)
West Palm Beach	148.1	Cleveland	(123.6)
Ft. Lauderdale	146.5	San Diego	(129.0)
Sacramento	119.0	Boston*	(171.5)
Nashville	102.6	Orange County	(199.2)
Jacksonville	91.6	San Francisco	(228.7)
Greenville-Spartanburg	58.6	Philadelphia	(230.9)
Indianapolis	48.9	San Jose	(263.2)
Minneapolis-St. Paul	48.5	Detroit	(279.1)
Kansas City	47.3	Miami	(299.1)
Houston	31.5	Newark-No. NJ	(409.1)
Albuquerque	25.3	Chicago	(604.2)
Columbus	19.2	Los Angeles	(1,424.8)
Oklahoma City	15.4	New York/Nassau-Suffolk	(1,583.7)

*Migration/immigration data is not currently available for the Boston PMSA or the Hartford MSA. For these markets, the larger NECMA designations are used.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

VI. EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS

A. Job Formation

Metropolitan Area

Employment Growth (Loss)—% 12-Month (Ending in September):	(1.3%)
Total Number of Net New Jobs, 12 Months (Ending in September):	(25,800)
% Unemployed, September 2004:	6.7%
% Unemployed, 12 Months Before:	7.3%

National

Employment Growth (Loss)—% 12-Month (Ending in September):	1.4%
% Unemployed, September 2004:	5.1%
% Unemployed, September 2003:	5.8%



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

B. Economic Base—Employment By Sectors

SECTOR	% GROWTH FROM PRIOR YEAR		% OF TOTAL EMPLOYMENT	
	METRO	NATIONAL	METRO	NATIONAL
Services	(0.2)	1.6	26.8	28.8
Business Services	1.1	3.0	18.2	12.7
Financial Activities	(3.5)	1.3	5.6	6.1
Government	(2.9)	0.6	11.2	16.3
Retail Trade	(3.3)	0.7	10.7	11.3
Wholesale Trade	(0.4)	1.4	4.7	4.3
Transportation/Public Utilities	(2.1)	1.7	3.3	3.7
Manufacturing	(2.2)	0.0	15.2	11.0
Construction	(1.6)	2.6	4.3	5.4

Note: The Department of Labor recently revised the industry classification system (from SIC to NAICS). One of the most significant changes was the establishment of "business services" as a separate category (instead of a sub-set of the "services" sector). In addition, the "FIRE" sector is now known as "financial activities".

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.

VI. EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS

C. Metropolitan % Total Employment Gain (10-Year Change)

JOB GROWTH

(% Gain/Loss, September 1994-September 2004)

Las Vegas	67.5%	Columbus	15.0%
Riverside-San Bernardino	46.9	Minneapolis-St. Paul	15.0
Phoenix	43.9	Nassau-Suffolk	14.4
West Palm Beach	38.9	Portland	14.2
Orlando	37.5	UNITED STATES	14.1
Austin	33.3	Memphis	13.8
San Diego	31.1	Indianapolis	13.1
Sacramento	30.9	Baltimore	12.7
Raleigh-Durham	30.4	Miami	11.4
Fort Lauderdale	29.3	Kansas City	11.1
Tampa-St. Petersburg	29.2	Cincinnati	10.9
Orange County	26.3	Philadelphia	10.5
Atlanta	24.6	Newark-No. New Jersey	9.0
Houston	23.2	New York	7.7
Dallas-Ft. Worth	23.0	Boston	7.5
Jacksonville	22.8	Los Angeles	7.5
Salt Lake City	22.3	St. Louis	7.4
Washington	21.6	Greenville-Spartanburg	7.3
Charlotte	21.2	Honolulu	6.4
Nashville	19.6	Chicago	6.3
Denver	19.0	Milwaukee	5.8
Albuquerque	17.2	San Francisco	5.1
Central New Jersey	16.7	San Jose	4.2
Seattle	16.6	Cleveland	2.9
Oakland	16.4	Detroit	2.8
Oklahoma City	16.0	Hartford	(0.8)

Source: U.S. Government, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

VI. EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS

D. Average Annual Wages—Select Occupations

POSITION	METRO AVERAGE	% OF NATIONAL AVG.	NATIONAL AVERAGE
Accountant	\$60,360	108.9%	\$55,430
Secretary	\$27,970	105.4%	\$26,540
Computer Systems Analysts	\$69,320	104.7%	\$66,180
Computer Programmer	\$63,140	97.9%	\$64,510
Electrical Engineer	\$71,080	98.6%	\$72,090
Machinist	\$40,590	119.7%	\$33,900

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment Statistics, 2003.

E. Production Wages & Union Membership

	AVERAGE ANNUAL WAGE*	NATIONAL RANK (OUT OF 51)	% WORKERS IN UNION	NATIONAL RANK (OUT OF 51)
Michigan	\$35,440	2	21.9%	4
U.S. Average	\$28,710	--	12.9%	--

*Average annual wage is for production workers only. The #1 ranking represents the highest average wage and the largest percentage of unionized workers.

Source(s): U.S. Dept. of Labor/wage figures (2003); U.S. Census Bureau/union membership (2003).

VI. EMPLOYMENT AND LABOR FORCE CHARACTERISTICS

F. State Workers' Compensation Costs

Workers' Compensation Costs
The average cost per \$100 of payroll
(2004)

	RATE*	INDEX**	2003 RATING***	2002 RATING
Michigan	\$4.15	1.07	32	33

*This is the rate for a prototypical manufacturer, based on the manual rate, taxes and assessments, payroll distribution, premium discounts, experience rating, and any other weighted adjustments that were made in order to produce a non-biased countrywide comparison.

**The base rate (or national average) for this Index is \$3.87.

***The rating is based on an analysis of 45 states with #1 representing the lowest average rate.

Source: Actuarial & Technical Solutions, Inc. [516] 471-8655.

G. State Minimum Wage/Overtime Status

Current State Minimum Wage* \$5.15

State Overtime Standard** 40-hour week

*The federal minimum wage increased to \$5.15 in September 1997. The federal rate sets the floor under which states cannot go. Some states, however, have lower rates for a minority of workers who are not covered by the Fair Labor Standards Act. Some states also have temporary lower rates and "subminimum wages" for certain groups of workers, e.g., minors and/or beginning employees.

**Federal law stipulates the payment of overtime to all private employees except supervisors, salaried professionals and unionized workers after 40 hours of labor in a given week.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor.

VII. EDUCATION

A. Educational Levels

	% OF ADULTS WHO GRADUATED FROM HIGH SCHOOL	% OF ADULTS WHO GRADUATED FROM 4-YEAR COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY
Detroit	86.2	24.3
U.S. Average	84.1	26.7



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, March 2002.

B. Educational Expenditures and Salaries

	PER-PUPIL EXPENDITURES	RANK (OUT OF 51)	TEACHER SALARIES	RANK (OUT OF 51)	STUDENT: TEACHER RATIO	RANK (OUT OF 51)
Michigan	\$8,315	19	\$53,563	4	18.6	7
U.S. Average	\$7,875	--	\$45,891	--	15.7	--

These figures represent statewide (and national) averages and are based on actual enrollment. The rankings include all 50 states, plus the District of Columbia. For expenditures and salaries, #1 represents the highest dollar amount; #1 also represents the highest student-teacher ratio.

Source: National Education Association, Rankings of the States, May 2004 Update.

VII. EDUCATION

C. Graduate Education

Academic Rankings of Local Graduate Programs

UNIVERSITY	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	ENGINEERING	GENERAL SCIENCES/OTHER
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: National Academy of Sciences, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States, 1995 (this report is updated every ten years). The NAS reviewed more than 3,600 doctoral programs in 41 fields at 274 universities for the 1993 academic year. Criteria included the academic quality of each school's faculty, the effectiveness of the school's teaching, and the level of its research. For programs that were ranked at 100 or more schools, we have listed the top 20 universities; for programs that were ranked at fewer than 100 schools, we have listed the top 10. The top universities are shown with their respective national ratings for each discipline. We have included 21 of 41 fields. Please note that the NAS did not include graduate business programs in its study.

D. University R&D Expenditures

	FEDERAL R&D EXPENDITURES (\$\$ IN MIL.)	% OF LEADING SCHOOL*	TOTAL R&D EXPENDITURES (\$\$ IN MIL.)	% OF LEADING SCHOOL*
Oakland Univ.	\$2.5	1.2	\$4.2	1.6
Wayne State Univ.	\$23.2	10.8	\$51.5	19.2


*The percentage column reflects the amount spent by the local institution(s) relative to the amount expended at the top spending institution in each of the two categories.

Source: National Academy of Sciences, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States, 1995 (this report is updated every ten years). These amounts reflect the average annual expenditures from 1986 to 1992 (based on 1988 dollars).

VIII. HOUSING & LIVING COSTS

A. Overall Cost of Living (Index)

Metropolitan Cost of Living Index*
(1st Qtr. 2004)**




*The ACCRA Cost of Living Index measures relative prices for consumer goods and services in participating areas. Housing is an important component of the Index. The average for all participating areas is 100. Each area's number is read as a percentage of that average.

**The latest data available for this metropolitan area.

Source: ACCRA Cost of Living Index, Louisville, KY, (502) 897-2890.

B. Metropolitan Housing Permits



Local Data: Figures represent total permits granted year-to-date in the Detroit PMSA.


U.S. Data: The percent changes in single-family and multifamily permits nationwide were 11.0% and 5.2%, respectively.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

VIII. HOUSING & LIVING COSTS

C. Homeownership


% of Households Owning Residences (2003)



Source: U.S. Census Bureau. Homeownership rates represent metropolitan averages as of year-end 2003.

D. Single Family Home Costs

Third Quarter 2004*



*The local data is year-to-date through October 2004.

Source: National Association of Realtors® and Michigan Association of Realtors.

VIII. HOUSING & LIVING COSTS

E. Local Utility Costs

	COMMERCIAL ELECTRIC (10,000 KWH PER MO.)	INDUSTRIAL ELECTRIC (650,000 KWH PER MO.)	RESIDENTIAL TOTAL (1,000 KWH PER MO.)
Detroit	\$950	\$33,835	\$94
U.S. Average	\$844	\$36,401	\$87

These numbers reflect the bundled rates (i.e., the combined cost of generation, transmission, delivery, and any transfer fees) that were in effect in January 2004. The kwh per mo. figures are based on consumption rather than demand.

Source: Edison Electric Institute, Typical Bills and Average Rates Report, Winter 2004.

F. Health Insurance Coverage & Costs

	% OF POP. COVERED BY HEALTH INSURANCE	RANK (OUT OF 51)	ANNUAL PER CAPITA HEALTH CARE EXPENDITURES	RANK (OUT OF 51)
Michigan	89.1	19	\$3,676	26
U.S.	84.4	--	\$3,759	--


The #1 ranking represents the highest percentage of population covered by health insurance and the highest per capita personal health care expenditures.

Source: Health Insurance: Bureau of Labor Statistics and U.S. Census Bureau (2003). Health Care Expenditures: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (1998).



IX. OTHER ECONOMIC INDICATORS

A. Metropolitan Median Household Income



*The U.S. Metro figure represents the median income of 323 metropolitan areas; \$38,035 is the median income nationwide.

Market Rank: 23*

*Ranking of 57 metropolitan areas, with the #1 ranking representing the highest median household income.

Source: Sales & Marketing Management: 2003 Survey of Buying Power.

B. High-Tech Industry Employment

	NUMBER OF ESTABLISHMENTS (2001)		NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES (2002)		HIGH-TECH EMPLOYMENT GROWTH (2001-2002)	
		RANK (OUT OF 51)		RANK (OUT OF 51)		RANK (OUT OF 51)
Michigan	10,191	12	190,982	10	(5.4%)	15


The #1 ranking represents the highest amount in each category.

Source: Cyberstates 2003, American Electronics Association.

IX. OTHER ECONOMIC INDICATORS

C. Metropolitan Area Patents

Patents Per 100,000 Residents (1999)



Market Rank: 16*

*Ranking of 52 metropolitan areas, with the #1 ranking representing the largest number of per capita patents.

Source: Dept. of Commerce, Patent & Trademark Office, April 2000.

D. Major Airport Activity

Detroit – Wayne County Metropolitan

	TOTAL (2003)	% CHANGE FROM 2003	NATIONAL RANK*	WORLD RANK*
Passengers (in millions)	32.7	0.2%	10	16
Cargo (in thousands -metric tons)	220.2	(4.8%)	29	75

*Ranking of 143 national and 813 international airports, with the #1 ranking representing the largest number of passengers and the largest amount of cargo.

Source: Airports Council International, Geneva, Switzerland.

IX. OTHER ECONOMIC INDICATORS

E. International Trade

International Trade Volume

	IMPORT	EXPORT	TOTAL
Detroit (Part of the Detroit, MI Customs District)			
January-September 2004 (\$\$\$ in billions)	\$82.9	\$68.7	\$151.6
% Change (from year ago)	13.4%	4.7%	9.3%
Total U.S.			
January-September 2004 (\$\$\$ in billions)	\$1,073.1	\$602.7	\$1,675.8
% Change (from year ago)	15.9%	13.8%	15.1%

Source: Dept. of Commerce, Foreign Trade Division.

F. Gross State Product

	1991	2001	% CHANGE 1991-2001
Michigan Ranking* (out of 51)	9	9	--
Michigan Total (\$\$\$ in billions)	\$219.8	\$297.5	35.3%
U.S. Total (\$\$\$ in billions)	\$6,615.7	\$9,335.4	41.1%

*Ranking of all 50 states (plus the District of Columbia), with the #1 ranking representing the highest dollar amount.

Note: While the dollar amounts are no longer inflation-adjusted, they are "chain-weighted" to make them comparable to the specified 1996 base period.

Source: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

IX. OTHER ECONOMIC INDICATORS

G. Gross Metropolitan Product

GMP
(\$\$ in billions)

	2002	RANK (OUT OF 100)	% CHANGE (FROM 2001)
Detroit	\$161.9	10	2.2%

*Ranking of 100 metropolitan areas, with the #1 ranking representing the highest dollar amount.

Source: U.S. Conference of Mayors.

X. TAXES AND EXPENDITURES

A. State Tax Rates/Employer Expenses

TAX	RATE
Business Taxes:	
Corporate Income/Franchise	1.9%*
Unemployment Insurance	2.7%
Individual Taxes:	
Sales/Use	6.0%
Food Exemption	Yes
Cigarette Tax (per package)	\$1.25
Personal Income Rates	3.9%
State Taxes Paid in 2002 Per \$1,000 Personal Income:	
Local	\$72
United States	\$60

The above data reflects our best efforts. All recent changes at the local/state level may not have been captured.

*This is the single business tax rate for 2002 and 2003. This tax is scheduled to be repealed in tax year 2010.

Source(s): Tax Foundation; Federation of Tax Administrators; and INDEX research.

B. Local Residential Property Taxes

2002 Residential Property Tax Rate
(Per \$100 Valuation)

LOCATION	EFFECTIVE RATE	RANK* (OUT OF 58)
Detroit CMP	\$1.53	39

* The residential property tax ranking is based on a comparison of 58 metropolitan areas analyzed by the National Real Estate Index. Markets are rated in ascending order. (Number 58 denotes the highest tax rate in the study.)

Important: Please be aware that because of the wide disparities in tax rates, assessment ratios, and assessment periods from jurisdiction to jurisdiction (even within some metropolitan areas), the rates reported represent approximations only. In large cities where a range of residential tax rates is applied, we have used Runzheimer's composite tax rate (CMP).

Source: Runzheimer International, Rochester, WI. Runzheimer International, a management consulting firm specializing in transportation, travel and living costs, provided the effective residential property tax rate. For more information on employee relocation, moving costs, and travel expenses, contact Runzheimer at 1-800-558-1702.

X. TAXES AND EXPENDITURES

C. Local Commercial Property Taxes

2002 COMMERCIAL PROPERTY TAX RATE
(PER \$100 VALUATION)

CITY	BASIC RATE	EFFECTIVE RATE	RANK** (OUT OF 85)
Detroit*	\$8.26	\$4.13	80
Troy*	\$4.73	\$2.37	53

*Taxes on intangible property were completely phased out in January 1998.

**The commercial property tax ranking is based on a comparison of 85 communities analyzed by the National Real Estate Index. Markets are rated in ascending order. (Number 85 denotes the highest tax rate in the study.)

Except where noted, inventory and intangible property are completely (or largely) exempt from taxation, and tangible personal property is taxed at rates and assessment ratios comparable to those for real property.

Important: Please be aware that because of the wide disparities in tax rates, assessment ratios, and assessment periods from jurisdiction to jurisdiction (even within some metropolitan areas), the rates reported represent approximations only. Actual tax assessments could vary substantially, depending on property class, age and location.

Source: Basic tax rates (and assessment ratios) were provided by Vertex Inc., Berwyn, PA, (800) 355-3500. The "effective" rates factor in the proportion of property value assessed for taxation purposes, and were calculated by the National Real Estate Index staff. Where a range of basic rates applied, the median was utilized.

D. Tourism & Entertainment Taxes

	HOTEL TAX	RESTAURANT TAX	CAR RENTAL TAX*
Detroit	15.00%**	6.00%	17.50%**
Average of 50 Surveyed Cities	12.36%	7.29%	8.40%

The above data reflects our best efforts. All recent changes at the local/state level may not have been captured.

*This is the basic rate. It does include local surcharges.

**These taxes were recently increased to help fund two new sports facilities.

Source: Travel Industry Association of America, Washington, DC.

X. TAXES AND EXPENDITURES

E. State Tax Revenue

State Tax Revenue
July-June 2004
(\$\$ in millions)

	PERSONAL INCOME	CORPORATE INCOME	SALES	TOTAL *	% CHANGE FROM YEAR AGO
Michigan	\$5,912	\$1,809	\$7,708	\$19,927	[0.7%]
U.S.	\$198,498	\$30,677	\$187,408	\$498,857	7.9%

The fiscal year begins on July 1 for all 50 states except Alabama (Oct 1), Michigan (Oct 1), New York (April 1), and Texas (Sept 1).

*Figures reflect total tax revenue reported for the state's general fund.

Source: Nelson A. Rockefeller Institute of Government, State Revenue Report.

F. Federal Spending Per Capita

	TOTAL FEDERAL SPENDING	NATIONAL RANK*	% CHANGE FROM YEAR AGO
Michigan	\$5,741	45	3.2%
Macomb County	\$6,218	--	4.6%
Oakland County	\$4,085	--	4.5%
Wayne County	\$6,540	--	4.9%
U.S. Average	\$6,910	--	2.9%

*Ranking based on all 50 states, plus the District of Columbia, with the #1 ranking representing the highest dollar amount.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2003.



XI. QUALITY OF LIFE

A. State Livability Index

	2003 INDEX RATING*	2003 RANK**	2002 RANK**
Michigan	24.79	28	23

*The livability index rating reflects an average of ratings for 43 categories including crime rate, unemployment rate, hazardous waste sites, quality of infrastructure (e.g., roads, bridges, etc.), high school graduation rate, spending on the arts, and tax burden. The scale is 1 to 50, with 50 being the best possible.

**The ranking is based on all 50 states, with the #1 ranking representing the highest livability rating.

Source: The Morgan Quitno Press, [800] 457-0724.

B. Crime Rate

Crime Per 100,000 Inhabitants—2002

	VIOLENT	% CHANGE FROM 2001	PROPERTY	% CHANGE FROM 2001
Detroit	705.0	(5.2)	3,592.9	(6.6)
U.S. Metro Average	545.6	(2.6)	3,863.5	(1.3)

Source: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States.

XI. QUALITY OF LIFE

C. Climate

Average Temperature (Fahrenheit)

	High	Low
Winter	31	16
Spring	58	37
Summer	82	59
Autumn	63	41


Average Annual Precipitation: 31 inches

Elevation: 664 ft


% of Sunny Days Per Year: 53%

D. Mode of Travel to Work

Local Metro*



U.S. Average



*Data is for the Detroit-Ann Arbor-Flint CMSA.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder: Journey to Work, 2000.

XI. QUALITY OF LIFE

E. Congestion Index

	CONGESTION COST TOTAL (\$\$ IN MILLIONS)	CONGESTION COST PER PERSON	WASTED FUEL PER PERSON (GALLONS)	DELAY PER PERSON (HOURS)
Detroit	\$2,107.0	\$523	43	27
Current Ranking*	8	19	17	18
Previous Year's Ranking	8	18	16	19

*The ranking compares this city to the 74 others in the study, with the #1 rankings representing the highest cost, largest amount of fuel wasted, and longest delay. All data is annual and is for the year 2001. The "congestion cost" includes the value of the extra travel time as well as the wasted fuel costs. Significant ranking changes can occur due to the tight "bunching" of values among some metropolitan areas.

Source: Texas Transportation Institute, Texas A&M University, 2003 Urban Mobility Report, [979] 845-1713, <http://mobility.tamu.edu>.

F. Major Professional Athletic Franchises

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE

Detroit Lions

MAJOR LEAGUE BASEBALL

Detroit Tigers

NATIONAL BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION

Detroit Pistons

NATIONAL HOCKEY LEAGUE

Detroit Red Wings

METHODOLOGY

The National Real Estate Index (INDEX) maintains proprietary databases and reports benchmark averages for large income-producing properties leased, bought and sold nationwide.

Property Prices, Rents, and Cap Rate Data

The price, rent, and cap rate data that appear in *Metro Market Facts* are from the INDEX's *Value Monitor* and *Rent Monitor* publications.

The INDEX *Value Monitor* compiles and reports average sale prices and capitalization rates for Class A properties in 60 local and seven regional markets throughout the United States. Prices and capitalization ("cap") rates for the Class A CBD (i.e., "downtown") office, suburban office, warehouse/distribution, anchored unenclosed shopping center, and apartment sectors are reported. In addition, Class B apartment values and cap rates are compiled in all markets as well. The INDEX *Rent Monitor* compiles and reports average rents in the same market areas and property sectors as its *Value Monitor* counterpart.

Value Monitor Property Prices: Generally, the average property prices that appear for each of the 60 regularly-reported local markets in the *Value Monitor* (and *Market History Report*) are derived from current or historical property sales transactions in the underlying local markets. Nationwide, the National Real Estate Index typically compiles more than 1,500 transactions of large improved properties each period, many of which are utilized to formulate local market benchmark prices. In any given period, a number of local market property prices are derived solely from property sales. Typically, in such cases, benchmark prices will reflect activity over more than one period (i.e., a "rolling quarter" average).

In those markets and property sectors where there is inadequate current investment activity to justify reporting a pure transaction-based price, the benchmark value is formulated based on historical sales data and current market factors, including the operating performance of "like-kind" properties within the respective market and property sector. We realize, of course, that this necessitates an element of "informed judgment" but disparities in local market size and liquidity over time sometimes dictate a modified, "hybrid" approach.

Value Monitor Cap Rates: Like the prices, the market benchmark capitalization rates are based on current or historical property sales. The cap rate data reported in the *Value Monitor* is intended to reflect recent operating income, rather than forward-looking (i.e., "pro forma") performance. Where the data are available, the cap rates incorporate property-level operating income on consummated sales within the respective marketplaces. However, in many instances, these data either are not available, or the "robustness" of the reported income data is questionable. In those cases, cap rates are derived from the average benchmark property price (which is based on current period or historical transactions) and a representative market-wide operating income proxy for that property type. Because of these data-based qualifications, the cap rates are the most volatile of the data reported by the INDEX and, therefore, are perhaps most useful in determining market directions and trends.

Rent Monitor Gross Rents: Except for retail, stated rents reflect all occupancy costs. Because reported rents exclude rent concessions, if any, reported rents are therefore **effective gross rents**. Reported *retail* rents include in-lying small shop space only and are *triple net*. *Warehouse rents* reflect lease rates for *warehouse space only*.

In essence, the INDEX *Rent Monitor* attempts to formulate a "same store" rent and free rent benchmark for each local market and property type reported. In order to monitor rental rate trends, the INDEX surveys "prototype" or "tracked" properties that conform to certain standards that are discussed in greater depth in each *Rent Monitor* publication. In general, however, these properties are high quality, have current construction materials and techniques, and are aesthetically modern and attractive. The buildings are representative of local market conditions, and have stabilized operations. Most Class A survey properties are 15 years old or less.

Note: As for most statistical data services, previously-reported data is revised as needed to reflect the receipt of new data. We believe this approach helps assure the most reliable data over the long-term. In particular, *all* data reported in the current period should be considered preliminary.

Because the rent figures represent quoted rates (after concessions) on space currently available (rather than the total rental income for all buildings surveyed), prices, rents, and cap rates may not always appear "internally" consistent. Reported price and rent trends are sometime modified by independent market surveys conducted by the INDEX research staff. As noted above, where there are too few transactions to constitute a statistically-significant sample or the underlying data for the transactions are incomplete, the performance of prototype properties is used to supplement the transaction data in deriving average values and cap rates.

For Additional Price, Rent and Cap Rate Information: The INDEX *Value Monitor* and *Rent Monitor* publications report price, rent and cap rate benchmarks each quarter for 60 leading U.S. markets, as well as property values in many other local markets and property sectors (in the *Value Monitor*). For more information on these publications, please see our website (www.nrei.info) or phone (800) 992-7257.

Other Data

To provide a more comprehensive picture of the current market conditions in each local market, *Metro Market Outlook* reports a wide range of real estate, demographic and economic data. These data are selected from a variety of secondary sources. Please see the citation that accompanies each chart for the source of the data employed.



MARKET•SCORE METHODOLOGY/ RATING EXPLANATION

The National Real Estate Index uses a proprietary model, created by Global Real Analytics, LLC for analyzing the real estate investment potential in 66 metropolitan markets nationwide. Market•Score evaluates the potential performance over a two-year horizon for 24 property sector classes of which Class A Central Business District (CBD) office, suburban office, warehouse, retail (unenclosed grocery-anchored shopping centers only), Class A apartment, and Class B apartment are presented in Metro Market Outlook. The rankings are based on key econometric and real estate-related data, portions of which are derived from our extensive proprietary property database.

Investment Potential Ratings: The investment potential is expressed both on a five-point continuum (i.e., "Rating") and on a numerical scale of 60-100 (i.e., "Score") that reflect our view of the comparative total return potential of properties in the respective sector/market for the succeeding two years. The investment score is based, first and foremost, on the rental prospects, as well as on a number of documentable (but not necessarily quantifiable) variables that impact the prospects for future price appreciation of real estate in local markets. Potential rental changes are formulated using a proprietary model of documentable and quantifiable economic, demographic, and real estate trends. Naturally, the variables employed vary from property sector to sector, but among the variables included are vacancy, construction, rental rate trends, job growth and related absorption, the distribution of employment within local markets, population and household growth, and household income.

Among the "clusters" of factors that supplement the rental prospects in the total return calculus are: (1) obstacles to construction; (2) corporate location preferences; (3) labor costs and quality; (4) the relationship between government and business, as well as corporate taxes; (5) the quality of life; (6) infrastructure and market access; and, finally, (7) current property values.

These factors are assessed weights in the overall model based on their relative importance and the extent to which they characterize the respective market in question. The continuum for investment prospects are shown below. The "rating" and "score" columns correspond as follows:

Investment Potential	
Rating	Score
Excellent	93+
Good	86-92
Average	75-85
Fair	65-74
Speculative	Below 65

General Observations and Model Limitations: As is appropriate for any comprehensive market analysis and rating, a clarification as to what the data purports to measure, as well as the potential pitfalls, is in order. First and foremost, the investment performance ratings reported in Market•Score are comparative. That is, we are attempting only to identify those markets that offer superior total return potential relative to other real estate markets. What absolute level of return will be achieved, or how the performance of any local real estate market will compare to that of financial assets such as stocks and bonds, is not analyzed here.

Second, like other analysts, we cannot forecast major exogenous events that may impact performance. The model's emphasis on analyzing comparative rather than absolute returns, however, should mitigate somewhat the prospective impact of major external macroeconomic or political events, should they occur.

Third, we have purposely chosen to emphasize documentable data, thereby largely eschewing forecasts (especially long-term ones) from third parties. We acknowledge that our emphasis on documentable trends may cause some readers to perceive a conservative bias in our strategic model. Consequently, all markets are ranked and "scored" each quarter.

ADDITIONAL DATA RESOURCES/WEB LINKS

Links to the following resources are presented as an informational service to NREI subscribers. The NREI does not endorse, support, or opine as to the accuracy or usefulness of any of the data or information found at these independent links.

CB Richard Ellis Local Market Reports

<http://www.cbre.com/Research/Market+Reports/Local+Reports+Worldwide/globalresearch.htm>

CB Richard Ellis U.S. National Vacancy Reports

<http://www.cbre.com/Research/Market+Reports/US+Vacancy+Reports/default.htm>

Detroit Regional Chamber of Commerce

<http://www.detroitchamber.com/>

Detroit Metro Convention and Visitors Bureau

<http://www.visitdetroit.com/>

Detroit - City Data

<http://www.city-data.com/city/Detroit-Michigan.html>

PUBLISHER

GLOBAL REAL ANALYTICS

Richard Wollack
Chairman & CEO

Daniel O'Connor
Managing Director—
Global Forecasting

James Sempere
Chief Operating Officer

Paul Wildes
Director of Marketing

RESEARCH/PRODUCTION

Terry Deming
Director—Data Acquisition &
Market Research

Bianka Noguera
Data Research & Accounting

Loubna Saleh
Data Management & Research

Axenia Velitchev
Research Analyst

Tamu Dawson
Senior Production Editor

Ashley Dickerson
Data Management &
Production

Joshua Tokle
Analyst & Information
Technology

NATIONAL REAL ESTATE INDEX publications are published quarterly by Global Real Analytics, LLC
505 Montgomery Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111
(800) 992-7257, www.nrei.info

Copyright © 2004 by National Real Estate Index.

IMPORTANT: Metro Market Outlook is sold with the understanding that neither the publisher nor the editorial advisor is engaged in rendering legal, tax, accounting, or other professional advice through this publication. No statement, ranking, or "score" in this issue is to be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell securities, or as investment advice to buy or sell properties. Real estate is generally a long-term, illiquid investment and requires careful consideration of financial objectives and independent research before investing.

Reasonable care has been exercised in compiling information and presenting it fairly and accurately. However, the publisher does not guarantee its accuracy.

Reproduction, photocopying or incorporation into any information retrieval system for external or internal use is prohibited unless written permission is obtained beforehand from the publisher for each article. The subscription fee entitles the subscriber to one original only. Group (i.e., "Enterprise") subscriptions are available, often at discounted rates.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION: An annual subscription to *Metro Market Outlook* includes four quarterly issues.

One Quarter Subscription Rate—Single Market: \$175
One Quarter Subscription Rate—All 50 Markets: \$2,995

Annual Subscription Rate—Single Market: \$395
Annual Subscription Rate—All 50 Markets: \$6,995

For information on The National Real Estate Index family of publications (or if you have questions regarding these policies), please call us at (800) 992-7257 ext. 2, or visit our website at www.nrei.info.

Other Metro Market Outlook Reports Available

Albuquerque	Honolulu	Orlando
Atlanta	Houston	Philadelphia
Austin	Indianapolis	Phoenix
Baltimore	Jacksonville	Portland
Boston	Kansas City	Raleigh-Durham
Central New Jersey	Las Vegas	Riverside-San Bernardino
Charlotte	Los Angeles	Sacramento
Chicago	Memphis	Salt Lake City
Cincinnati	Miami	San Diego
Cleveland	Milwaukee	San Francisco
Columbus	Minneapolis-St. Paul	San Jose
Dallas-Ft. Worth	Nashville	Seattle
Denver	Newark-No. New Jersey	St. Louis
Detroit	New York-Nassau Suffolk	Tampa-St. Petersburg
Fort Lauderdale	Oakland-East Bay	Washington, D.C.
Greenville-Spartanburg	Oklahoma City	West Palm Beach
Hartford	Orange County	