# THE GREENWASHING SIN OF SUBSTANTIATION? EXPLORING COMPLEX GREEN MESSAGES IN PRODUCT ADVERTISEMENTS

Dr. Sergio A. Molina,

Resident Professor of Environmental Economics and Policy. Center for Sustainable Development Studies, The School for Field Studies. (506) 2446 6960 Ext. 105, semolina@fieldstudies.org Apartado 150-4013 Atenas. Alajuela, Costa Rica.

> Dr. Timothy M. Smith Director, NorthStart Initiative for Sustainable Development Institute on the Environment, University of Minnesota 612-624-2648, <u>timsmith@umn.edu</u> Twin Cities Campus, St. Paul, MN

# Abstract

In this paper we look at the complexities associated with environmental information and its subsequent communication in advertisements, exploring the relationship of information complexity and credibility as key constructs that influence environmental communication performance. Recent emphasis on Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) information is presented as of promise bv which improved communication effectiveness holding some environmental/sustainability claims may materialize. A framework is proposed by which information complexity and credibility mediate the effect of purchasers' attitudes toward the ad itself, the brand, the company, and purchase intention. Findings suggest that when companies intend to communicate environmental (non-functional) messages, the inclusion of elaborated LCA information is appropriate. These results are of particular importance today when brand image is considered an important asset to companies. With an increasing pressure from multiple stakeholders toward environmental and social responsible activities, the credibility gained more complex and less appealing ads might be in the long term a fruitful approach.

#### INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades the connection among information, consumer behavior, and the environment has received significant attention. There is a growing interest in the role that information has in the encouragement of environmentally-preferable products and its impact on the environment. Nevertheless, its implications are by no means yet fully understood, and the ultimate objective of promoting sustainable patterns of consumption remains to be seen, especially when companies often find themselves claiming environmental messages with little substantiation or are hesitant to communicate this information at all to avoid negative reputational or legal repercussions. A considerable amount of research has been devoted to environmental communications; nevertheless there is still controversy about its impact to companies and society. We consider that part of this dilemma originates when environmental messages are explored in isolation as opposed to being in conjunction with central (functional) messages related to product performance. While non-functional (ancillary) messages are often easily communicated when the message itself is simple (e.g., brand identity, quality, brand partnerships, philanthropic associations), as the nature of these messages becomes increasingly complex, questions emerge as to the amount of information required to effectively communicate these messages and the impact of these efforts on more central (functional) claims.

Following the seminal works of Nelson (1970, 1974) and Darby and Karni's (1973), the effect of advertising as an information source is different according to when product characteristics can be identified and verified. Information assisting a consumer in determining a product's quality are characterized by search, experience and credence attributes. Search attributes can be identified before buying, whereas experience attributes cannot be verified before buying or using the product (i.e. goods and services). Credence attributes are all the product's properties that cannot be determined by the consumer at any case, even if the product is bought and consumed. The advertising content can therefore be thought to inform directly about the quality of search attributes (color, content, availability, etc.) and indirectly— through the advertised brand—about the quality of experience (taste, performance, reliability, etc.) and

credence attributes (nutritional information, chemical substance content, environmental impact, etc.).

Information on search attributes is relatively plentiful and easily attained, though subject to consumers' ability to perceive and process information - an issue further developed in subsequent sections of this paper. In contrast, for experience and credence attributes, without adequate information, consumers run a risk of purchasing a product that will not increase their utility, negatively affecting them financially, or potentially negatively impact their health, safety, or ability to secure similar utilities in the future. In order to reduce these risks, consumers try to learn about the product's attributes in various ways. They can obtain marketing communications from competing suppliers, talk to others who have experienced the product (word-of-mouth, mass media, on-line product reviews, blogs, etc.), seek expert opinion from third-party certifiers and governmental and nongovernmental organizations, and in some cases through trial purchases.

In addition to the above work addressing product attributes - based on when, or if, product information can be obtained and processed - another stream of research examines the assessment of products based on the benefits delivered. Product benefits are the personal value a consumer attaches to an attribute, and the evaluation of products seldom relies on a single benefit but rather on a combination of multiple benefits (Keller 1993). For example when evaluating service quality, aspects such as reliability, responsiveness, assurance, along with aspects such as guarantees/warranties, evidence of excellence, and availability help reduce the perceived risk of the service under evaluation (LaBand et al. 1995). Park, Jaworski and MacInnis (1986) further distinguished product benefits into three categories: functional, symbolic and experiential. Under this typology, functional benefits correspond to the attributes associated with, *"the ingredients necessary for performing the product function as viewed by consumers*" (Keller 1993). In contrast to the temporal distinction of product information described previously, functional benefits are determined by the consumers' needs that motivate the search for products that solve a current consumption problem or prevent a potential one (Park, Jaworski and MacInnis 1986). Experiential benefits are defined as those

related to what if feels like to use the product, and are underlined by the desire for pleasure, variety and cognitive stimulation. Finally, symbolic benefits are those not directly linked with the product, but are connected to internally underlying needs such as self-fulfillment or selfenhancement, often used by people as guiding principles in their life for choosing and justifying actions such environmentally-friendly behavior. In theory, any product can be positioned based on any of these three product benefits and that these benefits directly link product attributes and consumer needs (Keller 1993; Park, Jaworski and MacInnis 1986). This framework therefore implies that the functional benefits of products are intended to solve more fundamental needs which require fulfillment prior to the consideration and evaluation of experiential or symbolic needs. Because they are by their very nature connected directly to the central consumptive problem, functional benefits have been widely considered as central in product evaluations, theoretically and empirically (Keller 1993; Batra and Ahtola 1990; Park, Jaworski and MacInnis 1986; Woods 1960). Nevertheless, the absence of other non-functional product performance information can lead to negative evaluations, especially in mature products or competitive situations where the functional benefits are fairly familiar (Swan and Combs 1976).

While the focus of much of this research centers on consumer markets, similar to end consumers, business buyers also attempt to balance the overall evaluation of products between functional and non-functional performance benefits. Organizational buying is often characterized by highly informed and involved buyers, long buying cycles culminating in large purchases and automatic (or semiautomatic) repurchasing requiring much more "rational" buying behavior (Johnston and Lewin 1996; Lilien 1974). Hence, the role of non-functional product benefits stands to be more influential in these settings. Many business markets purchase decisions hinge on the outcome of a bidding process between competitors offering similar products and services. In an effort to avoid competing solely on price, a number of business marketing researchers suggest that a supplying firm's ability to provide greater perceived customer value – vis-à-vis rival firms' products - hinges on its knowledge of the customer-specific combination of functional and non-functional attributes influencing the purchase decision (Anderson et al. 2006; de Chernatony and Riley 1998; Wilson 1995; Wolter et

al. 1989). Thus, unlike consumer markets, where building a recognizable brand is very important, in business markets information aimed at reducing the buyer's risks and/or costs of production or improving operations are of significant importance (Dwyer and Tanner 2006; Henthorne et al. 1993; Bunn 1993). Given that much of this information, often comingled in a "solutions" or "relationship" framework, cannot be verified prior to purchase commitments of significant volume, the credibility of this information is paramount.

This paper contributes to the current literature by explicitly examining the role of salient functional and non-functional information in business-to-business trade advertisements. Specifically, we focus on the non-functional product attribute of environmental product performance, an increasingly important dimension in the purchasing decisions of architects designing high performing green buildings, in conjunction with more central functional performance messages. With the emergence of a number of green building initiatives and rating systems, many building materials manufacturers have begun to recognize and assess the impacts of their products on these environmental aspects and communicate this information to a growing number of interested architects and builders, resulting in a U.S. market for green building materials estimated at \$21.1 billion in 2005 (BCC Research 2006). This process, however, is much easier said than done. Even in the case of products with significant environmental advantages over competition, firms struggle with how to communicate these messages and are uncertain of the effectiveness of these claims in assisting customers and prospects through the buying process. In addition, despite experts' calls for more specific and better supported environmental performance information in corporate and marketing communications, companies often find themselves claiming environmental messages with little substantiation or are hesitant to communicate this information at all to avoid negative reputation or legal repercussions.

We look to the growth in Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and LCA-based metrics as holding promise by which improved communication effectiveness may materialize. These methodologies of measuring material resources, energy consumption, and environmental impact of products throughout its life have gained significant notice by both the LEED and Green Globes building

rating systems, and are anticipated to play increasingly prominent roles in their future development. Thus, we explore within an building/home insulation products setting, the mechanisms by which customers process environmental information resulting from highly sophisticated and internationally recognized environmental assessment techniques. Based on a thorough review of the persuasion and environmental literature we forward and test the hypothesis that ancillary environmental performance messages help to increase the communication effectiveness when they are included in addition to only functional product performance messages. Thus, the research question examined in this paper becomes two-fold:

1. Do non-functional environmental messages complement or impair functional product performance information?

2. How do LCA/elaborated claims influence the communication effectiveness of messages?

The paper proceeds with a review of mechanisms employed by consumers, business or otherwise, to process messages and propose a framework by which complexity and credibility mediate the effects by which explicit non-functional advertising messages may improve communication effectiveness. We then provide a description of the experimental setting, elaborating on the recent "greening" trends within the building industry, and the emphases placed on life-cycle assessment techniques and the communication of its information. Next, we present our methodological approach and describe the multiple measure scales employed in the study. Finally, empirical results based on controlled experiments of message exposure are presented and discussed. Concluding remarks, along with limitations and venues for future research, bring the paper to a close.

# A FRAMEWORK FOR PROCESSING ELABORATED MESSAGES

In the pursuit of more effective advertising, researchers have tried to understand the relationship between consumer's attitudes toward the advertisement (AAD), the brand (ABR), the company (ACO), and purchase intention (PI). The causal relationship hypothesized among these variables has provided a better understanding of the advertising framework commonly

accepted today in the marketing literature (e.g. MacKenzie and Spreng 1992; Mackenzie, Luzt and Belch 1986; Mackenzie and Luzt 1989; Batra and Ray 1986). Many variants of this model have been proposed over the years, all of which assume that an attitude shift is required prior to purchasing (e.g., Vaughn 1980). Attitudes toward the ad (AAD) or the predisposition to respond in a favorable/unfavorable manner to a particular advertising stimulus during a specific exposure has been considered an important factor that drives attitudes toward the brand (e.g. Shimp 1981) and toward the company (e.g. Winters 1986). Furthermore, primary based on the ideas of Ajzen and Fishbein (1974), attitudes are believed to influence behavior through behavioral intentions. In the advertising framework this means that the purchase intention (PI) of an individual for a particular product is importantly considered the result of his attitudes toward the ad (AAD), the brand (ABR) and the manufacturer (ACO) of such product. These causal relationships could also occur in different order depending on which attitude is more salient at the particular moment of evaluation, that is, a previously built attitude toward a company may influence the attitude an individual has for a particular brand or advertisement. For example, corporate credibility has been suggested as an important antecedent to attitudes toward the ad itself (Goldsmith et al. 2000).

The credibility of a firm's intentions at a particular time is the result of the firm's past actions or developed reputation (Herbig and Milewicz 1993) which is built upon consistent delivery of products over time and through its marketing signaling. This mechanism alerts reputation if it repeatedly fails to fulfill them. Managing perceptions of credibility is of significant importance in that consumers evaluate credible companies' advertisements more favorable, and have been found to buy their products over those of less credible competitors (Keller 1998).

While credibility based on the source of the information is beneficial in creating positive associations with a brand in the absence of technical information, in situations where the lack of information detail (or the ambiguity of information) leave consumers with little opportunity to make meaningful decisions, claims can be perceived as deceitful and negatively impact the product, its brand, the company and its endorser. To illustrate this point, consider the food industry and the myriad of credence information presented daily to consumers. Messages such

as "organic", "low-fat", "light", "Non-genetically modified", "low in sugar" often lack the detail necessary to distinguish differing levels of performance between products, resulting in ambiguous claims, at best, and misleading or deceitful claims at worse (Kangun et al. 1991).

An obvious solution to this problem is proving consumers with more explicit information to elaborate upon the claim and potentially further support a functionality, benefit, or position. However, as the nature of informative advertising messages becomes increasingly explicit and the number of product benefits grows, questions emerge as to the amount of information required to effectively communicate these types of information and the impact of these efforts on more central product functional performance claims. The amount of information communicated within advertisements can vary along two general dimensions, the explicitness of information within a message and number of messages (or benefits) communicated in the advertisement. In both cases, taking these approaches often increases the complexity of the communication medium through the use of technical jargon, additional visual elements, or simply more information requiring processing from the recipient. Hence, marketers face the dilemma that each additional message competes for the scarce resource of consumers' attention. In today's hypermedia environment, each additional message in turn, adds to the media noise of the marketplace reducing the marginal efficiencies of subsequent communications (Wu and Newell 2003). The advertising community has responded to this dilemma primarily through creative mechanisms (whether in content or in media selection), but have shown general restraint when it comes to increasing the message explicitness within the advertisement. The primary argument for this behavior is based on the assumptions that consumers often seek to minimize their cognitive effort, have limited ability, and a low threshold for boredom (Anderson and Jolson 1980; Shuptrine and McVicker 1981).

Other researchers, however, suggest that complex advertising can be more effective (Stewart and Koslow 1989; Chamblee et al. 1993; Abernethy and Franke 1996; Phillips 1997; Lowrey 1998). It is thought that the increased processing effort required by consumers to process complex messages may affect attitude formation and memory. Such rationale is based on the dual models of information processing such as the heuristic-systematic model (Chaiken 1980;

1987; 1994), and the Elaboration Likelihood Model (ELM) of persuasion (Cacioppo and Petty 1984; Petty and Cacioppo 1986; Petty, Cacippo and Schumann 1983). These dual processing models shed some light in attempting to predict the possible outcomes of this research. That is, consumers with high knowledge, motivation, and need for cognition, will be more likely to use the central route of persuasion, thus, finding ads with more information highly satisfactory. Similarly, subjects less involved and with lower cognitive needs, tend to be more positively impacted by simpler ads. Thus,

- **H1:** Communication effectiveness will be higher when the ad provides information about a nonfunctional environmental benefit in addition to the functional benefit; as oppose to an ad with information related to its functional benefit only.
- *H2:* Advertisements conveying high explicit messages are more effective than advertisements with low explicit messages.

While less research has looked at the number of benefits linked to a product (Romaniuk 2003; Alba and Marmorsteing 1987), according to the Elaboration Likelihood Model, the number of message' arguments or product' benefits serve as important prompts. These prompts are thought to be of greater significance when individuals are not motivated or do not have the cognitive capacity to engage in detail message elaboration (Petty and Cacioppo 1984). Based on the idea of associative network models, multiple distinct messages enable individuals to bring to short-term memory elements of the product that otherwise wouldn't be available if only a single message is conveyed (Meyers-Levy 1989; Murdock 1982). Petty and Cacioppo (1984) suggest that more arguments will better persuade these individuals and that the behavioral effect on buyers may be based on the number of claims included in the ad rather than the quality of those claims (Alba and Marmorsteing 1987). Furthermore, Krishnan (1996) found that the number of attributes that people elicited about a product was positively linked to its equity, and, Rominiuk (2003) found evidence that the more attributes a consumer associates with a brand, the higher the probability of purchasing that brand in the future. Hence,

# *H3:* Advertisements conveying a higher number of product benefits are more effective than advertisements with fewer messages.

We, therefore, base a conceptual framework for the effectiveness of multi-message informative advertisements on the notion that non-functional information contained within them, often represents experience, credence, and/or symbolic attributes necessitating explicit disclosures and significant credibility for their effectiveness. This framework is illustrated in Figure 1. While one could conceptualize a model where complexity and credibility play a mitigating role in the effectiveness of functional information messaging, for the sake of experimental simplicity and for the reason that function information is often more straight forwardly communicated and assessed prior to purchase, we focus our attention on non-functional claims. This is not to say that functional information is ignored, rather, the degree of explication and elaboration in both functional and non-functional product information claims is conceptualized as impacting the effectiveness of the communication. In this sense, one could imagine cases where advertising messages skewed heavily toward non-functional information could reduce the effectiveness of the advertisement (as measured by attitudes toward the advertisement, attitudes toward the brand, attitudes toward the company, and purchase intent) due to a poor assessment of the functional benefits of the product and their ability to meet the basic consumptive needs of the customer. Similarly, advertising messages skewed heavily toward functional information, where salient non-functional information is inadequately explicit in detail, might also be ineffective due to the lack of credibility of the claims and the effect of this on brand and/or company attitudes. A description of the specific multiple measures employed, as well as the control variables developed for this particular study, are elaborated upon in subsequent sections.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SETTING**

#### The emergence of environmental performance as an important ancillary message

Environmental management and its pursuit of environmental performance is recognized today as key factor in the organizational management (e.g. Maignan, Ferrell and Ferrell 2005; Friedman and Miles 2002; Mitchell et al. 1997) and competitive strategies of businesses (Hoffman 2006, Porter and Van der Linde 1995). However, even eco-pioneers still find it difficult to integrate such activities with the companies overall management systems. The ISO 14001 Environmental Management Systems standard is but a decade old, and despite its increasing popularity (over 110 thousands facilities certified worldwide and a 20 percent annual growth rate), the system itself isn't necessarily an indicator of either strong environmental or financial performance (Carraro and Leveque 1999; Krut and Gleckman 1998).





In turn, companies are still required to find creative ways to communicate environmental performance to its vast range of stakeholders, including suppliers, customers, shareholders, regulators, communities, the media and others. While the last two decades have proofed that successfully competing on the environmental dimension is difficult, this new market is worthy of consideration. The end-consumer market size of sustainable products has been estimated to be around \$2 billion (Roberts 1996, LOHAS 2005) and for companies selling to other organizations the magnitude is significantly higher. The U.S. Federal Government alone procures from its contractors over \$200 billion annually and several executives' orders (e.g.

13101, Greening the Government through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition) encourage government agencies to give preference to "green" products. Another example of market demand can be seen in Wal-Mart's recent announcement to aggressively encourage its 60,000 suppliers to create products that don't harm the environment (Hudson 2007). Other high profile examples, including GE's Ecomagination initiative – creating over \$10 billion in revenues in 2005 which are expected to double by 2010, are emerging at a rapid pace.

In addition to the market growth for high performing environmental products, significant improvements in environmental assessment techniques have also materialized over the past two decades resulting in substantial increases in available environmental performance information. At the forefront of this trend are a family of techniques and analysis frameworks collectively referred to as Life Cycle Assessment (LCA). These assessments are rigorous and quantitative, and address the multiple environmental impacts of a product's complete life span (**See Figure 2**). LCA methodologies have developed significantly over the past two decades importantly supported by the Society for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) and the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP), evolving into the development of international standards for their implementation, application, and communication at the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Companies have devoted substantial resources to implementing formal Life Cycle Assessments of their products and services (LCA) and many are now pursuing the use of this information in marketing communications and positioning strategies. Few industries are embracing this trend more enthusiastically than that building industry.



Figure 2: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) diagram.

# **METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH**

The experimental setting for this study focuses on a controlled experiment examining informative advertising messages of a fictitious insulation product. Functional benefits of the product include typical performance attributes of the category, including r-value ratings and the benefit of design flexibility. Non-functional product benefits are represented through various disclosures of environmental performance information and further elaborated to included information pertinent to health and economic non-functional benefits. By *explicitness of messages* we mean the inclusion of a disclosure with quantitative information further clarifying and/or substantiating the base claim. With regard to environmental performance claims, LCA-based environmental performance information is utilized to substantiate the claims.

As indicated before, product benefits are the personal value an individual attaches to an attribute, therefore, in our context of environmentally preferable products, we further distinguish environmental performance claims among private and public benefits. While a full discussion of the economics literature addressing costs and benefits of externalities is outside the scope of this paper, we note that the value allocated to products is often through free market, described as a series of utility maximizing contracts between relevant individuals. This

free market assumes that: (a) individuals act rationally by choosing to maximize utility; (b) information is available to make rational, utility maximizing decisions; and (c) social welfare is the aggregate of individual welfare and that 'the public interest' will be achieved by individuals acting in a self serving manner (Smith, A. 1776/1987). However, there is ample evidence that a free-market fails to direct individuals towards environmentally-friendly behavior, and that this occurs mainly because markets for environmental products normally do not exist. Thus, their true cost is not priced into market transactions (Barbier 1989; Coase 1960; Pigou 1932). Therefore, individuals do not bear the full cost of making choices that cause social damage, also called "externalities". The issue of external benefits is related to that of public goods, which are goods where it is difficult if not impossible to exclude people from their benefits (e.g. clean air, public fireworks, law enforcement). Through our experimental design, we test very simply an ad where the environmental performance information is further elaborated in a way that includes an additional public benefit (a health benefit associated with reduced emissions) and an additional private benefit (an economic benefit associated with reduced energy costs).

Eight advertisements (**see Table 1**) were created to evaluate the effects on communication effectiveness of non-functional (environmental) information and functional information. Three different disclosures of the ad were modified as follows: (a) *theme disclosure*, which is associated with a functional (TDF) or environmental performance (TDE) description of the product under evaluation; (b) *elaborated disclosure*, involving specific and quantitative statements associated with a functional or environmental performance description of the product (i.e. TDFD and TDED); (c) *private benefit disclosure*, including both an image and a textual statement of either a functional benefit (FUN), a financial benefit (FIN) or a health benefit (HEA).

Table 1.	Information	included	in the	advertisements	under	evaluation
----------	-------------	----------	--------	----------------	-------	------------

Ad #	Theme disclosure	Explicit disclosure	Private benefit disclosure
1	Functional Performance		Functional
2	Functional Performance	Functional Performance	Functional
3	Environmental Performance		Functional
4	Environmental Performance	Environmental Performance	Functional
5	Environmental Performance		Financial
6	Environmental Performance	Environmental Performance	Financial
7	Environmental Performance		Health
8	Environmental Performance	Environmental Performance	Health

This methodological approach is similar to that one in other studies in the area of consumer research/behavior (e.g. Cotte et al. 2005; Putrevu et al. 2004; Golberg and Hartwick 1990; Laferty and Goldsmith 1999). An innovative aspect, however, is the use of electronic webbased questionnaires which included an image intended to simulate a magazine-type advertisement. According to the Reed Research Group (2003) within the building industry professional magazines or newsletters were rated as the primary source of information about green building products.

Our sample is composed of architects, all members of the United States Green Building Council (USGBC). Individuals were randomly assigned to receive one of the 8 insulation advertisements developed (i.e. 780 individuals per ad). Following suggestions from the Dillman (2000) "tailored design method" for executing mail and internet surveys, an explanatory email was developed asking individuals to participate in the study, housed within a separate web-based location. Both, the original e-mail request and a followed reminder were directly sent by the USGBC. Once on the webpage, respondents were asked to look at the insulation ad "at your preferable own pace, as when reading your favorite magazine". The ads were produced with support from an advertising/graphic designer and with significant input from the Communication Committee of the North American Insulation Manufacturing Association (NAIMA). A fictitious brand name was created to avoid bias due to brand familiarity (Brooks and Highhouse 2006). This was of

special importance in our context because consumers' existing perceptions of a corporation's environmental concern may influence their attitudes toward the company, its products and purchase intention (Davis 1994). Following the advertisement observation task, a questionnaire was administered to all subjects to assess their perceptions on attitudes toward the ad (AAD), the product brand (ABR), the company (ACO) and purchase intentions (PI) and to assess perceptions toward informational complexity and credibility. A web-base questionnaire was particularly desired because subjects were not able to flip through questions ahead of time, nor they were able return to view the advertisement once the observation task was complete. In addition, the internet-based data is easier and less costly to collect, handle and process.

# MEASURES

Most measures in this study used semantic differential or bipolar scales and were entirely or partially adopted from previous research (**Table 2**). A previous experiment conducted by the authors with a different sample helped to assess and purify the measures. A brief description of each measure is presented below.

*Complexity (COM):* This scale is similar to the resource demands scale developed by Keller and Block (1997) to measure the degree to which a stimulus requires a person to devote high cognitive efforts for it to be understood. The items measuring the complexity of the ad were: "The ad was simple to understand"; "The ad was easy to follow"; and, "The ad was complicated" on a 7-point agreement scale.

Table 2: Measurement scales used in the study.

Construct	No. of Items			
Complexity (COM)	3	Keller and Block (1997).		
Credibility (CRE)	3	Cottle et al. (2005); Newell and Goldsmith (2001), Golberg and Hartwick (1990).		
Attitude toward the ad (AAD)	3	MacKenzie and Lutz (1989); Muehling (1987a).		
Attitude toward the brand (ABR)	3	MacKenzie and Lutz (1989); Muehling (1987a)		
Attitudes toward the company (ACO)	8	Newell and Goldsmith (2001).		
Purchase intention (PI)	3	Yi (1990); Putrevu et al. (2004); MacKenzie, Lutz and Belch (1986).		
Product knowledge (KNO)	3	Kent and Allen (1994).		
Energy Star' familiarity (STA)	3	Oliver and DeSarbo (1985)		
Environmental concern (EC)	5	Cordano et al. (2003); Cordano et al. (2004)		
Attention devoted to the message (ATT)	4	Ha (1996); Laczniak and Muehling (1993).		
Attitudes toward advertising (ADV)	9	Obermiller and Spangenberg (1998); Muehling (1987b)		

*Credibility (CRE)*: This measure uses a combination of items previously evaluated by Cottle et al. (2005); Newell and Goldsmith (2001) and Golberg and Hartwick (1990). It measures the credibility of the ad as "convincing", "credible" and "biased" (reverse coded) in a 7-point scale varying from "Strongly agree" to "Strongly disagree".

Attitude toward the ad (AAD) and attitude toward the brand (ABR) are measures borrowed from MacKenzie and Lutz (1989) and Muehling (1987a) and use a seven-point bipolar scale anchored as "good/bad", "favorable/ unfavorable", "pleasant/unpleasant".

*Attitudes toward the company (ACO):* This measure covers honesty and expertise as two fundamental aspects of corporate reputation. We used a recently developed scale by Newell and Goldsmith (2001) composed of 8 items in a seven response scale varying from "Strongly agree" to "Strongly disagree".

*The* **Purchase** *intention* (**PI**) measure comes from Yi (1990) and Putrevu et al. (2004) based on the work of MacKenzie, Lutz and Belch (1986). This 3 item-measure was anchored in a 7-point bipolar scale as: "very likely/very unlikely", "probable/improbable", "possible/ impossible".

#### **CONTROL VARIABLES**

From the respondents point of view, and based on information processing theory and its further developments, it is necessary to account for the influences of factors such as *need for cognition, knowledge,* and *gender* (Cacioppo and Petty and Kao 1984; Cacioppo and Petty 1982, Putrevu et al. 2004). Other variables having possible effects and thus included in the model as control variables are: *environmental concern,* and attitudes towards *advertising* in general. From a company and product perspective, company *goodwill* and product quality could also affect the outcomes, therefore, familiarity with the brand and company name was controlled throughout the development of completely new ads. Similar to the development of tested constructs, scale items used in the development of control variables are discussed below.

**Product Knowledge (KNO) and Experience (EXP):** Researchers have found evidence that experts (as oppose to novices) rely more on informational sources (Choong and Lord 1996) enabling them to encode the abundance of cues presented in complex advertisements. Putrevu et al. (2004) found that knowledgeable individuals are also capable of evaluating technically and visually complex messages since they have better understanding of what they are evaluating, they have higher processing ability (MacInnis et al 1991), and higher efficiency of information search (Putrevu and Ratchford 1997). Product knowledge was measured using 3 seven-point items developed by Kent and Allen (1994) varying from "Very familiar/Very unfamiliar", "Very experienced/Very inexperienced", and "Very knowledgeable/Very unknowledgeable". Experience was measured by asking the number of years as a professional within the industry.

*Energy Star familiarity (STA):* this voluntary labeling program was introduced by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in 1992 and expanded in 1999 to cover the building industry. Products and buildings could be certified if conforming to a series of energy performing standards. It has been suggested that advertising for familiar brands may not work in the same way as advertising for unfamiliar brands (Machleit, Allen, and Madden 1993); thus, we assess the familiarity of respondents with the Energy Star brand using a scale developed by Oliver and DeSarbo (1985) asking participants the following 3 item-measure, anchored in a 7point bipolar scale: "Familiar/Unfamiliar", "Informed/ Uninformed", "Knowledgeable/ Unknowledgeable".

*Environmental concern (EC):* In an effort to reduce respondent fatigue, we used a recently developed abbreviated scale which evaluates the predictive validity of the original (Dunlap and Van Liere 1978) and revised (Dunlap et al. 2000) New Environmental Paradigm (NEP) scale. This abbreviated scale developed by Cordano et al. (2003; 2004) includes 5-items which has been shown to significantly explain the variance of the intention of individuals to engage in pro-environmental behavior.

Attention devoted to the message (ATT): Based on the dual information processing theories, it is important to consider the individual's *need for cognition* or the tendency to engage in and enjoy thinking (Cacioppo, Petty and Cao 1984). However, even their short scale includes 18 items and high chances are that our busy respondents would skip this question or abort the questionnaire. Thus, we used instead an alternative scale which does not measure the need for cognition but at least provide a self-assessment of their processing effort devoted when looking at the ad (Laczniak and Muehling 1993). This scale was developed by Ha (1996) and asked participants the following 4 items on a 7-point agreement scale: (1) "I paid attention to the content of the ad"; (2) "I carefully read the content of the ad"; (3) "When I saw the ad, I concentrated on its content"; (4) "I expended effort on the content of this ad".

Attitudes toward advertising (ADV): Although advertising once had a favorable opinion by the public, during the 1980s studies found a growing distrust, with most surveys indicating that advertisements did not present an accurate description of products (Shavitt, Lowrey and Haefner 1998). Mehta (2000) found that the performance of individual ads (in terms of recall and buying interest) is influenced by consumers' attitudes toward advertising in general. In a similar manner, others have also argued that ADV should be accounted for when measuring attitudes toward the ad (O'Donohoe 2001; Muehling 1987b). A 9-item Likert-type agreement scale was used to measure consumers' perceptions toward advertising skepticism based on the work of Obermiller and Spangenberg (1998).

*Gender*: Researchers have noticed that males and females exhibit differing types and depths of elaborative processing (e.g. Putrevu et al. 2004; Chamblee et al. 1993). It is suggested that these differences can be partially attributed to the differing psychological orientations of men and women. For males, the agency orientation denotes a single, self-focused perspective; for females, the communion orientation of concern for others related to traditional societal roles. Therefore, when processing ad claims, women are likely to encode verbal and nonverbal message cues more accurately and with higher elaboration due to their superior sensory capabilities (Chamblee et al. 1993; Meyers-Levy and Maheswaran 1991).

# PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The main goal of this study is to identify the relationships among research constructs as perceived in consumers' minds, specifically the role of information complexity and credibility on variables of functional and non-functional informational messages communication effectiveness. Below, we begin by briefly describing the sample and checking the manipulation of treatments. We then, conduct two main analyses in order to assess the hypothesized relationships. We first explore these relationships using regression analyses; and secondly, we employ a structural equation model to further assess multi-path relationships.

# Sample description

Data was collected during November 2006, resulting in a total of 1,346 responses (21.5 response rate). The average number of minutes participants expended to complete the questionnaire was 12.22 minutes (median=10). After a preliminary data inspection a substantial number of incomplete questions were found in both end of the time spectrum, thus, we decided to use only those respondents completing the questionnaire with half and twice the median, hence, the lower and upper bounds were 5 and 20 minutes respectively, excluding 9.8 percent of respondents in the lower bound and 10.3 percent in the upper bound. Several of these respondents still partially completed the questionnaire. In addition, respondents for which English is the second language were also excluded. The sample used in subsequent analyses consists of a total of 1,062 respondents, of which 64.3 percent were males and the remaining 35.7 percent were females. Around half (50.54 percent) identified

themselves as architects only, and one third have other high profile roles such as president/CEO, associate partners or project managers.

Mostly all respondents (87.54 percent) are LEED accredited (i.e. accredited by the USGBC as green building designers). In terms of total professional experience as architects, fifty-eight percent of respondents have more than 10 years and only less than a quarter (23 percent) have 5 or less years of professional experience. In terms of their companies, twenty-five percent of them receive annually \$1 million or less in revenue; over half (54 percent) receive between \$1 and \$20 million; and fifteen percent receive between \$20 and \$100 million. The primary line of business of these firms are commercial buildings (31 percent), followed by educational (18 percent) and residential construction (15 percent).

# **Analysis of scales**

As described in the methodology section, our constructs were developed primarily from previously validated multi-attribute scales. **Table 3** provides the Cronbach's alpha measure of reliability. All coefficients are above 0.70, the minimum level of reliability commonly recommended (Nunnally 1994). Also items within constructs were subjected to a principal component factor analysis with varimax rotation to assess unidimensionality. All variables conform to the unidimensionality criterion except the attitude towards the company (ACO), where two factors were extracted, encompassing, as expected, the company dimensions of honesty and expertise. Our factor analysis indicated that these two dimensions accounted for 72% of the variance (**Additional information can be provided as online content**).

**Table 3:** Measures of reliability check.

Construct	N	Mean	Variance	Reliability <b>(Cronbach α)</b>
Complexity (CRE)	999	4.68	2.19	0.853
Credibility (COM)	999	4.45	1.237	0.852
Attitude towards the ad (AAD)	999	4.65	1.609	0.863
Attitude toward the brand (ABR)	991	4.25	1.066	0.945
Attitude toward the company (ACO)	991	4.05	0.715	0.885
Purchase Intention (PI)	991	4.33	1.194	0.886
Product Knowledge (KNO)	976	5.26	1.262	0.922
Energy Star Familiarity (STA)	966	5.44	0.660	0.923
Environmental Concern (EC)	955	5.30	1.172	0.839
Processing effort (ATT)	955	5.28	1.754	0.926
Attitude towards Advertising (ADV)	915	3.18	1.551	0.919

#### **Regressions on communication effectiveness variables and Environmental Performance**

To test whether non-functional environmental disclosures (TDE and TDED) improve the communication effectiveness over functional messages (TDF and TDFD), several regression analyses were carry out. Results are summarized in **Table 4**. One important finding indicates that although mentioning environmental LCA information (TDE) positively influences PI (0.172; p-value= 0.026) it does not help the credibility of the ad (CRE), in fact, it is negatively influenced (-0.206; p-value= 0.001). However, when elaborated LCA information (TDED) is presented (i.e. shown with quantitative and specific environmental impact results), a significantly positive effect is created toward CRE, AAD and PI of the product under evaluation. Although we anticipated that this could occur for all types of elaborated messages, this was not the case with those ads which only included functional performance information (TDFD); none of the coefficients of our dependent communication effectiveness variables showed significant effects. One possible explanation to these results is that our experienced respondents (i.e. 58 percent of total respondents have more than 10 years of professional experience) already know enough about the functional performance of these products in general, that the additional details don't make much of a difference to actually perceive the elaborated messages as more effective. Nevertheless, as we have anticipated, environmental arguments are often viewed with less understanding and with higher skepticism, thus the additional disaggregated

information provides enough substantiation to make the ad more credible (0.244; p-value= 0.001), more favorable (0.239; p-value= 0.001), and influencing positively the purchase intention (0.115; p-value= 0.001).

We did not find support for the hypothesis that including a private environmental benefit disclosure will increase the communication effectiveness over a message with only a public one. That is, the inclusion of an additional financial (FIN) or health (HEA) benefit disclosure did not created any significant effect over a message with a product functional performance statement (FUN), nor there were significant differences among them in terms of complexity, credibility, attitudes or purchase intention. We acknowledge that our respondents are not the private receivers of the product benefits, but as business buyers, they could have processed the information with little interest in benefiting their clients. One could counter argue that a builder/architect could potentially obtain higher margins by means of a higher price or lower transaction cost if the end consumer is able to save some money; however, this is just a potential benefit that the architect might not see obviously tangible. With health related benefits, the architect might feel more incline to specify or recommend a product with less risk; however, the time lag for the benefit/harm to become evident could be so long (low probability), that once again the architect could process this information with little interest.

The two mediating constructs (complexity and credibility) had significant influence on the communication effectiveness measures, and support previous research examining these effects (Molina 2007). The complexity of how the ad is perceived (COM) negatively influences its general attitude (-0.321; p-value= 0.001) and its purchase intention (-0.078; p-value= 0.001), although attitudes toward the brand (ABR) and the company (ACO) were not affected in a significant manner. On the other hand, the perceived credibility (CRE) of the information presented in the ad had a significant positive effect on all the communication effectiveness measures (i.e. AAD, ABR, ACO and PI). Although regression analysis are useful to determinate possible effects among variables, they don't necessarily account for the influence of error terms across the multiple model paths as conceptualized in our model, thus we further explore our framework with a latent variable structural equation model (LVSEM).

Table 4: Regression results of all dependent variables.

	COM	CRE	AAD	ABR	ACO	PI
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES						
Intercept term <sup>a</sup>	4.082*	3.869*	3.358*	2.091*	2.077*	-0.471*
TDFD	0.214	-0.022	0.018	-0.084	0.057	0.125
TDE	0.201	-0.206*	-0.024	-0.035	-0.079	0.172*
TDED	0.078	0.244*	0.239*	-0.039	0.046	0.115*
FIN	0.171	-0.034	0.068	-0.028	-0.014	0.010
HEALTH	0.20**	0.026	-0.035	0.033	0.096*	-0.112**
Complexity (COM)			-0.321*	-0.035	-0.004	-0.078*
Credibility (CRE)			0.349*	0.149*	0.320*	0.256*
Attitude toward the ad (AAD				0.356*	0.121*	0.168*
Attitude toward the brand (ABR)						0.186*
Attitude toward company (ACO)						0.348*
CONTROL VARIABLES						
Gender (GEN)	0.099	-0.004	-0.080	-0.054	0.019	-0.072
Product Knowledge (KNO)	0.002	-0.077*	0.029	-0.049	-0.037*	0.051**
Energy Star Familiarity (STA)	0.060	0.037	-0.017	0.065	0.039**	0.024
Environmental Concern (EC)	0.071**	0.019	0.001	-0.054	0.013	0.062*
Processing effort (ATT)	-0.320*	-0.004	0.113*	0.005	-0.048*	0.014
Professional Experience (EXP)	0.007	-0.014*	-0.009*	-0.004	-0.005*	-0.008*
Attitude toward Advertising (ADV)	-0.100*	0.319*	0.099*	0.078*	0.073*	0.061*
Adj. R <sup>2</sup>	0.095	0.154	0.362	0.282	0.450	0.546

<sup>a</sup> The base line advertisement includes a Functional Theme Disclosure (TDF) with a Functional Private Disclosure (FUN).

\* Significant (p2 0.05)2

\*\* Significant (p2 0.10)

# Latent Variable Structural Equations Model Analysis

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to estimate the parameters of the model as shown in **Figure 3**. As suggested by Boomsma (2000), instead of writing all the equations specified in the model, we let the diagram itself describe those relations. Several methodological aspects are mentioned, especially for readers who would like to replicate these analyses. In terms of structure, all the exogenous variables were selectively related to three endogenous latent variables (i.e. Complexity as  $\eta_1$ ; Credibility as  $\eta_2$  and AAD as  $\eta_3$ ). The first two (i.e.  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ ) are hypothesized to have an effect on  $\eta_3$ ; and all of them are related to the rest of the endogenous latent variables (i.e. ABR as  $\eta_4$ ; ACO as  $\eta_5$ ; DD and PI as  $\eta_6$ ). Because not every respondent answered all key questions, the structural model included 915 observations with a total of 45 observed variables (a.k.a. manifest variables) specified for 20 latent variables.

Each latent variable was scaled directly to a variance of one using the first observed variable as the indicator. The covariance moment matrix was used in the analysis; however, for simplicity; the correlation matrix is presented in **Online Content**. In its most general formulation (Joreskog 1971) the structural equation model was defined by three simultaneous equations specifying the measurement model of the endogenous variables, the exogenous variables, and the structural model.

In order to obtain more stable parameter estimates and proper solutions of model fit, several researchers (e.g. Little et al. 2002; Bandalos 2002; Hall et al. 1999) have suggested to parcel observed variables into the specification of latent variables. As explained by Holt (2004), it is highly recommended that variables be parceled randomly only if unidimentionality of the construct exists; otherwise, similar facets of the structure need to be classified into the same item parcel. Thus, ADV was randomly reduced to 3 instead of 9 observed variables and ACO was parceled from 8 to only 2 variables based on the results from the factor analysis previously discussed and presented in **Online Content**.

In the theoretical model we purposely omitted a direct relationship between attitude towards the brand (ABR) and attitude toward the company (ACO), assuming that when subjects are exposed to an ad, they simultaneously develop attitudes toward the brand (ABR) and toward the company (ACO) as opposed to a sequential or causal relationship. In the past, researchers have hypothesized this relationship in either direction depending on which stimulus they where first exposed (i.e. to the brand or to the company). In our case, subjects were exposed for the first time to both simultaneously, thus, following the suggestion by Maruyama (1998) we allow the unexplained portion of their variance to covary. A similar approach was taken with regard to the relationship between complexity and credibility, instead of forcing them to a fixed causal path, we also allow them to covary. According to the dual processing model of information processing there are instances when complex messages (i.e. with many details) enable more credible perceptions of the message because they allow active processing efforts by the viewer, but the fact that a voluntary disclosure of environmental information is presented could

signal a positive cue on behalf of the company, making the ad more credible (peripheral route). Similarly, a credible piece of information, such as a third-party environmental certification logo, could be perceived simple by some respondents who directly link the information with the environmental performance of a company while others could perceive it with skepticism or little credibility.

After computing the model using LISREL 8.72 maximum likelihood method, the goodness of fit statistics were found satisfactory, indicating the overall acceptability of the structural model analyzed. The  $\chi^2_{(806)}$  = 1,564.61 (p=0.001), although significant, is of limited use as a standalone measure because it tends to gain excessive power in large samples (Bentler 1990). Therefore, the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) is thought to be a more robust measure of goodness of fit. RMSEA of the model estimated is 0.031, appropriately below the 0.050 suggested value by Brown and Cudeck (1993). A relative index that helps to explain the data compared to other possible models is the Normed Fit Index (NFI) which has a value of 0.97, also above the cut off 0.90 recommended by Bentler and Bonett (1980). Other indexes also suggest a good fit, including the Comparative Fit Index (CFI= 0.99); the Incremental Fit Index (IFI = 0.99), and the Relative Fit Index (RFI = 0.96).

In **Table 5** are presented the results of the reexamination of our hypotheses using latent variable structural equation techniques. The completely standardized solutions of the exogenous variables indicates that disaggregated environmental messages (TDED) significantly influence the attitudes toward the ad (0.08, t-value= 2.74) and its credibility (0.14, t-value= 4.11), providing evidence that including environmental messages in addition of functional ones are only effective when these messages are highly elaborated. Notice also that respondents viewed similar the different messages along the complexity dimension.

**Table 5:** Estimated Structural Model standardized coefficients.

Specified relationship	Parameter	Estimate	t Value		
TDF→ Complexity	<b>γ</b> 18	-0.02	-0.03		
TDF→ Credibility	<i>γ</i> 28	0.05	0.07		
TDF→ AAD	<i>γ</i> 38	-0.01	-0.01		
TDFD→ Complexity	<b>γ</b> 19	0.03	0.86		
TDFD→ Credibility	<i>γ</i> 29	0.01	0.07		
TDFD→ AAD	<i>γ</i> 39	-0.01	-0.05		
TDE→ Complexity	γ110	0.02	0.03		
TDE→ Credibility	<b>γ</b> 210	-0.05	-0.07		
TDE→ AAD	<b>γ</b> 310	0.01	0.01		
TDED→ Complexity	γ111	-0.02	-0.50		
TDED→ Credibility	Ŷ211	0.14	4.11		
TDED→ AAD	<b>γ</b> 311	0.08	2.74		
$\chi^2_{(806)}$ = 1,564.61 (p=0.001) Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) = 0.031 Normed Fit Index (NFI) = 0.97 Incremental Fit Index (IFI) = 0.99					

The completely standardized solutions for the endogenous variables are reported in **Table 6**. Supporting the model, the two mediating constructs had a significant effect on the communication effectiveness measures. Complexity is negatively related to AAD (-0.47, tvalue=-14.48); however, it doesn't have any significant influence over ABR (-0.02; t-value= -0.63) and the ACO (0.03; t-value= 0.87). The negative influence on the AAD is congruent with previous research indicating that simpler ads have more favorable attitudes on individuals. This can also be partially explained by the fact that subjects had only one opportunity to view the ad and that it is a product with little appeal to generate some excitement.

If we now consider the effects on purchase intention (PI), we can see that complexity (COM) has a small but significant negative effect (-0.08; t-value = -2.54), nevertheless it is worth noticing the positive effect created by AAD, and credibility (CRE), and also by ABR, ACO which are not affected by COM.

These results are consistent with research suggesting that more explicit messages can elicit favorable ACO, especially in an age of global environmental consumerism where products are

being evaluated not only on functional performance, quality and price, but also on the environmental responsibility of the manufacturer. As expected, credibility (CRE) had a positive influence on all the communication effectiveness measures, with a strong effect on the ACO. Although this could be an experimental artifact, because respondents were exposed just briefly to the brand, an alternative explanation is provided by the concept of *metaphor personification*, where several aspects of a company image and identify (e.g. reputation) are evaluated similarly to how people are evaluated (Davis et al. 2001). This is especially important in our context of socially-driven purchases, where buyers tend to evaluate the environmental performance of products (and its messages) as being directly linked toward the company itself, that is, the company personality could be easily assessed based on the responsibility perceptions of its products and processes.

Specified relationship	Parameter	Estimate	t Value		
Complexity → AAD	B <sub>31</sub>	-0.47	-14.48		
Complexity → ABR	B <sub>41</sub>	-0.02	-0.63		
Complexity → ACO	B <sub>51</sub>	0.03	0.87		
Complexity → PI	B <sub>61</sub>	-0.08	-2.54		
Credibility → AAD	β <sub>32</sub>	0.30	8.88		
Credibility → ABR	β <sub>42</sub>	0.18	5.01		
Credibility → ACO	β <sub>52</sub>	0.72	15.16		
Credibility → PI	β <sub>62</sub>	0.18	3.47		
AAD→ ABR	β <sub>43</sub>	0.42	9.25		
AAD→ ACO	β <sub>53</sub>	0.17	4.18		
AAD→ PI	β <sub>63</sub>	0.28	7.09		
ABR→ PI	β <sub>64</sub>	0.15	5.08		
ACO→ PI	β <sub>65</sub>	0.33	5.59		
$\chi^2_{(806)}$ = 1,564.61 (p=0.001) Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) = 0.031 Normed Fit Index (NFI) = 0.97 Incremental Fit Index (IFI) = 0.99					

Table 6: Estimated Structural Model standardized coefficients.

When compared to a previous study in the B2C context (Molina 2007), although significant, ACO doesn't have such strong effect on PI. One might argue that this isn't the case because with this population the consumer-seller relationship is weaker as compared to the B2B buyerssupplier relationship; in addition, our final consumers were not predisposed to purchase the product based on the environmental performance dimension as is the case with our population of architects, which are mostly LEED certified.

# The effect of professional experience

Based on the regression coefficients from **Table 4** and also results from our structural equation model (SEM), results suggest that the level of professional experience of our participant architects does not influence the complexity of how the ads are viewed. Nevertheless, based on our SEM analysis, more experienced architects view ads across the board as less favorable (-0.08; t-value = -2.70) and less credible than novice architects (-0.19; t-value = -5.30). The results from the regression analysis not only confirm such effects but in addition, we see how they are true also for ACO and PI. However, veteran architects often have more knowledge about the persuasion process (i.e. beliefs about how persuasion occurs and what tactics are used) and simple disbelief toward the ad may be one way how they cope with the persuasive attempts (Obermiller et al. 2005).

# CONCLUSIONS

Our main finding indicates that advertisements with non-functional environmental messages are more effective than those presenting functional product benefits, but only when they are substantiated with quantitative and disaggregated information [resulting from LCA studies]. Therefore, practitioners are to reconsider their common position that negative advertisement appeals are damageable to the overall marketing communication strategy. Simple messages are often required to gain market awareness and break through the noisy hypermedia marketplace; nevertheless, the overall purchase behavior is influenced by several other factors beyond the appealing of the ad itself. Our model suggests that credibility, to a high extent, compensates the effect of complexity on the attitude toward the ad, and in fact, it strongly influences in a positive manner all attitudinal variables and the intention to purchase the product. This is of particular importance today when brand image is considered an important asset to companies. With an increasing pressure from multiple stakeholders toward environmental and social responsible activities, the credibility gained with these less appealing ads might be in the long term a fruitful approach.



Figure 3. Structural Model: The role of information complexity and credibility on communication effectiveness.

In the business-to-business market setting of this study, we found that attitudinal responses toward the brand (ABR) and the company (ACO) were not influenced by the perceived level of complexity (COM). One possible reason for this variation is that individuals are experienced in the product category, and in this particular case more familiar with "green building" and the product category's environmental attributes. In particular, it is evident that those respondents with more experienced present less favorable attitudes toward the ad, the brand, the company, and credibility as compared to novices. However, they ALL view the complexity of the ad in a similar manner. For companies thus, it's important to develop specific communications devoted to these market segments. It appears that when companies intend to communicate non-functional environmental messages, the inclusion of disaggregated LCA information is appropriate. Buyers and consumers have indicated in numerous occasions that environmental messages need to be substantiated in order for claims to be reliable. This hasn't been an easy task for organizations and strategic thinking is required to make this integration into the marketing planning and execution effective.

When ads with only functional product messages were analyzed, we found that disaggregating the message did not provided additional improvement on their communication effectiveness, hence, it is probable that without competitive product comparisons, simpler ads with functional product benefits will be preferable.

As LCA information becomes more readily available, this research provides the first steps toward identifying the dimensions by which environmental communications are improved. This work provides the first steps in linking investments in LCA with marketing communications, identifying directions by which environmental communications can be improved. While attention in LCA has increased in the past years, most research on this topic has been focused on the improvements of LCA tools and methodologies, and there is little understanding of how LCA information is processed and used by companies in the development of business strategies and marketing communication activities. One should be mindful of the hypothetical nature

of this experiment. Although in our experimental setting respondents could face as many distractions as in an actual purchase setting, they still did not face any budget constraints. Furthermore, a within-subjects experimental design would be an alternative approach in which the main effect of most advertisements designs could be strongly tested given the higher control of differences across individuals. With the inclusion of multiple control variables we attempted to control such differences, yet, extraneous variation is always a constraint. Our recommendation for further analysis is to test at the individual level two or maximum three specific ads across one factor (e.g. the format, the content, etc.).

Although we put substantive effort on the development of several distinct advertisements, it is valuable of further exploration these phenomena in other communication media. Certainly advertising receives high public attention, and the effects on product and corporate credibility can be strong, but the exploration of similar communications is worth further exploration.

# REFERENCES

- Abernethy, A. and Franke, G. 1996. The information content of advertising: A metaanalysis. Journal of Advertising, 25 (2): 1-17.
- Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M. 1974. Factors Influencing Intentions and the Intention-Behavior Relation. Human Relations, 27 (1): 1-15.
- Alba, J. W. and Marmorstein, H. 1987. The Effects of Frequency Knowledge On Consumer Decision Making. Journal of Consumer Research, 14 (1):14-25.
- Anderson, J. C.; Narus, J. A. and van Rossum, W. 2006. Customer Value Propositions in Business Markets. Harvard Business Review, 84 (3): 90-99.
- Anderson, R. and Jolson, M. 1980. Technical wording in advertising: implication for marketing segmentation. Journal of Marketing, 44(1): 57-66.
- Armstrong, J. S. and Overton, T. S. 1977. Estimating Nonresponse Bias in Mail Surveys. Journal of Marketing Research, 14(3): 396-402.
- Bandalos, D. L. 2002. The effects of item parceling on goodness-of-fit and parameter estimate bias in structural equation modeling. Structural Equation Modeling, 9 (1): 78–102.
- Barbier, E. B. 1989. Economics, natural-resource scarcity and development. London, Earthscan.
- Batra, R. and Ahtola, O. T. 1990. Measuring the hedonic and utilitarian sources of consumer attitudes. Marketing Letters, 2 (2): 159-170.

- BCC Research. 2006. The U.S. Market for "Green" Building Materials. (Published September 1, 2006). 233p.
- Bentler, P. M. 1990. Comparative fit indexes in structural models. Psychological Bulletin, 107 (2): 238-246.
- ------ and Bonnet, D. G. 1980. Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. Psychological Bulletin, 88: 588-606.
- Boomsma, A. 2000. Reporting Analyses of Covariance Structures. Structural Equation Modeling, 7 (3): 461-483.
- Bunn, M. D. Information Search in Industrial Purchase Decisions. 1993. Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing, 1(2): 67-102.
- Brooks, M. E. and Highhouse, S. Familiarity Breeds Ambivalence. 2006. Corporate Reputation Review, 9 (2): 105-113.
- Cacioppo, J. T.; Petty, R. E. and Kao, C. F. 1984. The Efficient Assessment of Need for Cognition. Journal of Personal Assessment, 48 (3): 306-307.
- ------ and Petty, R. E. The Elaboration Likelihood Model of persuasion. Advances in Consumer Research, 11 (1): 673-675.
- Carraro, C. and Leveque, F. 1999. Voluntary Approaches in Environmental Policy. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- Chaiken, S. 1994. Heuristic processing can bias systematic processing: Effects of source credibility, argument ambiguity, and task importance on attitude judgment. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 66 (3): 460-473.
- ------ and Stangor, C. 1987. Attitude and Attitude Change. Annual Review of Psychology, 38: 575-630.
- -----. 1980. Heuristic versus systematic information processing and the use of source versus messages cues in persuasion. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 39 (5): 752-766.
- Chamblee, R.; Gilmore, R.; Thomas G. and Soldow, G. 1993. When copy complexity can help ad readership. Journal of Advertising Research, 33(3): 23-28.
- Choong, P. and Lord, K. R. 1996. Experts and Novices and Their Use of Reference Groups. In 1996 AMA Educators' Proceedings: Enhancing Knowledge Development in Marketing, C. Droge and R. Caltone, eds., Chicago: American Marketing Association, 203-208.
- Coase, R. H. 1960. The Problem of Social Cost. Journal of Law and Economics, 3 (October): 1-44.
- Cordano, M.; Frieze, I. H. and Ellis, K. M. 2004. Entangled Affiliations and Attitudes: An Analysis of the Influences on Environmental Policy Stakeholders' Behavioral Intentions. Journal of Business Ethics, 49 (1): 27-40.
- ------; Welcomer, S. A. and Scherer, R. F. 2003. An Analysis of the Predictive Validity of the New Ecological Paradigm Scale. Journal of Environmental Education., 34 (3) 22-28.
- Cotte, J.; Coulter, R. A. and Moore, M. 2005. Enhancing or disrupting guilt: the role of ad credibility and perceived manipulative intent. Journal of Business Research, 58 (3): 361-368.

- Darby, M.R. and Karni, E. 1973. Free competition and the optimal amount of fraud. Journal of Law and Economics, 16 (1): 67-88.
- Davis, G.; Chun, R.; da Silva, R. and Roper, S. 2001. The Personification Metaphor as a Measurement Approach for Corporate Reputation. Corporate Reputation Review, 4 (2): 113-127.
- de Chernatony, L. 2002. Living the Corporate Brand: Brand Values and Brand Enactment. Corporate Reputation Review, 5 (2/3): 113-132.
- ------ and Riley, F. D. 1998. Modeling the components of the brand. European Journal of Marketing, 32 (11/12): 937-1090.
- Dillman, D. A. 2000. Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method. Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 464p.
- Dunlap, R. E.; Van Liere, K. D.; Mertig, A. G. and Jones, R. E. 2000. Measuring Endorsement of the New Ecological Paradigm: A Revised NEP Scale. Journal of Social Issues, 56 (3): 425-442.
- ------ and Van Liere, E. 1978. The "new environmental paradigm": A proposed measuring instrument and preliminary results. Journal of Environmental Education, 9: 10-19.
- Dwyer, F. R. and Tanner, J. F. Jr. 2006. Business Marketing: Connecting Strategy, Relationships, and Learning. 3rd Edition. McGraw-Hill/Irwin. 683p.
- EPA. Buildings and the Environment: A Statistical Summary Compiled by: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Green Building Workgroup December 20, 2004. Available at http://www.epa.gov/greenbuilding/pubs/gbstats.pdf. Last visited 10/27/2006.
- Frankl, P.; Fullana, P. and Kreissig, J. 2007 (Forthcoming). Communication of Life Cycle Assessment in the Building and Energy Sectors. Report on the International Expert Workshop: "Sector-Specific Approaches for Communication of Life Cycle Information to different Stakeholders, Barcelona, Sept.8th-2005".
- Friedman, A.L. and Miles, S. 2002 Developing Stakeholder Theory. Journal of Management Studies, 39 (1): 1-21.
- Goldberg, M.E. and Hartwick, J. 1990. The Effects of Advertiser Reputation and Extremity of Advertising Claim on Advertising Effectiveness. Journal of Consumer Research, 17 (2): 172-179.
- Goldsmith, R. E.; Lafferty, B. A. and Newell, S. J. 2000. The Impact of Corporate Credibility and Celebrity Credibility on Consumer Reaction to Advertisements and Brands. Journal of Advertising, 29 (3): 43-54.
- Ha, L. 1996. Advertising Clutter in Consumer Magazines: Dimensions and Effects. Journal of Advertising Research, 36 (4): 76-84.
- Hall, R. J.; Snell, A. F.; and Singer Foust, M. 1999. Item parceling strategies in SEM: Investigating the subtle effects of unmodeled secondary constructs. Organizational Research Methods, 2 (3): 233–256.
- Henthorne, T. L.; LaTour, M. S. and Williams, A. 1993. How Organizational Buyers Reduce Risk. Journal of Industrial Marketing Management, 22 (1): 41-48.
- Herbig, P. and Milewicz, J. 1993. The Relationship of Reputation and Credibility to Brand Success. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 10 (3): 18-24.

- Hoffman, A. 2006. Getting Ahead of the Curve: Corporate Strategies that Address Climate Change. Pew Center on Global Climate Change. Available at http://www.pewclimate.org/docUploads/PEW%5FCorp Strategies%2Epdf . Last visited 11/01/2006.
- Holt, J. K. 2004. Item Parceling in Structural Equation Models for Optimum Solutions. Paper presented at the 2004 Annual Meeting of the Mid-Western Educational Research Association, October 13–16, 2004 Columbus, OH.
- Hudson, K. 2007. Wal-Mart Wants Suppliers, Workers to Join Its Green Effort. Wall Street Journal (Eastern edition). New York, N.Y.: Feb 2, 2007. p. A.14.
- Johnston, W. J. and Lewin, J. E. 1996. Organizational Buying Behavior: Toward an Integrative Framework. Journal of Business Research, 35 (1): 1-15.
- Joreskog, K. G. 1971. Simultaneous factor analysis in several populations. Psychometrika, 57: 409-426.
- Kangun, N.; Carlson, L. and Grove, S. 1991. Environmental advertisement claims: a preliminary investigation. Journal of Public Policy and Marketing, 10 (2): 47-58.
- Keller, P. A. and Block, L. G. 1997. Vividness Effects: A Resource-Matching Perspective. Journal of Consumer Research, 24 (December):295-304.
- Keller, K. L. 1993. Conceptualizing, Measuring, Managing Customer-Based Brand Equity. Journal of Marketing, 57 (1): 1-22.
- Kent, R. J. and Allen, C.T. 1994. Competitive Interference Effects in Consumer Memory for Advertising: The Role of Brand Familiarity, Journal of Marketing, 58 (3): 97-105.
- Krishnan, H. S. 1996. Characteristics of memory associations: A consumer-based brand equity perspective. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 13 (4): 389-405.
- Krut, R. and Gleckman, H. 1998. ISO 14001: A Missed Opportunity for Sustainable Global Industrial Development. Earthscan Publications, London.
- LaBand, D., Pickett, G.M. and Grove, S. 1995. An examination of factual information content among service advertisements, The Services Industry Journal, 15 (2): 216-233.
- Laczniak, R. N. and Muehling, D. D. 1993. The relationship between experimental manipulations and tests of theory in an advertising message involvement context. Journal of Advertising, 22 (3): 59-74.
- Lafferty, B. A.; Goldsmith, R.E. and Newell, S.J. 2002. The dual credibility model: the influence of corporate and endorser credibility on attitudes and purchase intentions, Journal of Marketing Theory & Practice, 10 (3): 1-12.
- Lifestyles of Health and Sustainability (LOHAS). 2005. Home website of LOHAS Journal Online: http://www.lohasjournal.com/app/cda/nbp\_cda.php. Last time accessed: 06/07/2005.
- Lilien, G. L. 1974. A modified linear learning model of buyer behavior. Management Science, 20 (7): 1027-1036.
- Little, T. D.; Cunningham, W. A.; Shahar, G.; and Widaman, K. F. 2002. To parcel or not to parcel: Exploring the question, weighing the merits. Structural Equation Modeling, 9 (1):151–173.
- Lockwood, C. 2006. Building the Green Way. Harvard Business Review, 84 (6): 129-137.

- Lowrey, T. 1998. The effects of syntactic complexity on advertising persuasiveness. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 7 (2): 187-206.
- MacInnis, D., Moorman, C. and Jaworski, B. 1991. Enhancing and measuring consumers' motivation, opportunity and ability to process brand information from ads. Journal of Marketing, 55 (4): 32-53.

Marketing, 24(3): 15-19.

- Machleit, K. A.; Allen, C. T. and Madden, T. J. 1993. The Mature Brand and Brand Interest: An Alternative Consequence of Ad-Evoked Affect, Journal of Marketing, 57 (4): 72-82.
- MacKenzie, S. B. and Spreng, R. A. 1992. How does motivation moderate the impact of central and peripheral processing on brand attitudes. Journal of Consumer Research, 18 (4): 519-529.
- ------ and Lutz, R. J. 1989. An Empirical Examination of the Structural Antecedents of Attitude Toward the Ad in an Advertising Pretesting Context. Journal of Marketing, 53 (2): 48-65.
- ------; Lutz, R. J. and Belch, G.E .1986. The Role of Attitude toward the Ad as a Mediator of Advertising Effectiveness: A Test of Competing Explanations. Journal of Marketing Research, 23 (2): 130-143.
- Maignan, I.; Ferrell, O. C. and Ferrell, L. A. 2005. A stakeholder model for implementing social responsibility in marketing. European Journal of Marketing, 39 (9/10): 956-977.
- Maruyama, G. M. 1998. Basics of Structural Equation Modeling. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, 310p.
- Mehta, A. 2000. Advertising Attitudes and Advertising Effectiveness. Journal of Advertising Research, 40 (3): 67-72.
- Meyers-Levy, J. 1989. The influence of a brand name's association set size and word frequency on brand memory", Journal of Consumer Research, 16 (2): 197-207.
- ------ and Maheswaran, D. 1991. Exploring Differences in Males' and Females' Processing Strategies. Journal of Consumer Research, 18 (1): 63-70.
- Mitchell, R.K.; Agle, B.R.; and Wood, D.J. 1997. Toward a Theory of Stakeholder Identification and Salience: Defining the Principle of Who and What Really Counts. Academy of Management Review, 22 (4): 853-886.
- Muehling, D. D. 1987a. Comparative Advertising: The Influence of Attitude-Toward-The-Ad on Brand Evaluation. Journal of Advertising, 16 (4): 43-49.
- -----.1987b. An Investigation of Factors Underlying Attitudes-Towards-Advertising-In-General. Journal of Advertising, 16 (1): 32-40.
- Murdock, B. B., Jr. 1982. A theory of storage and retrieval of item and associative information. Psychological Review, 89 (6): 609-626.
- Nelson, P. 1974. Advertising as Information. Journal of Political Economy, 82 (4): 729-754.
- -----. 1970. Information and Consumer Behavior. The Journal of Political Economy, 78 (2): 311-329.

- Newell, S.J. and Goldsmith R.E. 2001. The development of a scale to measure perceived corporate credibility. Journal of Business Research, 52 (3): 235-247.
- Nunnally J.C. and Berstein I.R. 1994. Psychometric Theory, 3rd Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill. 752p.
- Obermiller, C., Spangenberg, E. and MacLachlan, D. 2005. Ad Skepticism: The Consequences of Disbelief. Journal of Advertising, 34 (3): 7-17.
- ------ and Spangenberg, E. R. 1998. Development of a Scale to Measure Consumer Skepticism Toward Advertising. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 7 (2): 159-186.
- O'Donohoe, S. 2001. Living with Ambivalence: Attitudes to Advertising in Postmodern Times. Marketing Theory, 1 (1): 91-108.
- Oliver, R. L. and DeSarbo, W. S. 1985. Crossover Effects in the Theory of Reasoned Action: A Moderating Influence Attempt. Journal of Consumer Research, 12 (3): 324-340.
- Park, C. W.; Jaworski, B. J. and MacInnis, D. J. 1986. Strategic Brand Concept-Image Management. Journal of Marketing, 50 (4): 135-145.
- Petty, R. E.; Cacioppo, J. T. 1986. Communication and Persuasion: Central and Peripheral routes to attitude change. Springler/Verag, New York.
- ------ and Cacioppo, J. T 1984. Source factors and the elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. Advances in Consumer Research, 11 (1): 668-672.
- ------; Cacioppo, J. T. and Schumann, D. 1983. Central and peripheral routes to advertising effectiveness: The moderating role of involvement. Journal of Consumer Research, 10 (2): 135-146.
- Phillips, B. 1997. Thinking into it: Consumer interpretations of complex advertising images. Journal of Advertising, 26 (2): 77-87.
- Pigou, A.C. 1920. The Economics of Welfare (4th ed.) London: Macmillan. Available at http://oll.libertyfund.org/Home3/Book.php?recordID=0316. Last visited: 11/12/2006.
- Porter, M. and Van der Linde, D. 1995. Green and Competitive: ending the stalemate. Harvard Business Review, 73 (5): 120-134.
- Putrevu, S.; Tan, J. and Lord, K. 2004. Consumer responses to complex advertisement: The moderating role of need for cognition, knowledge and gender. Journal of Current Issues and Research in Advertisement, 26 (1): 9-24.
- ------ and Ratchford, B. T. 1997. A Model of Search Behavior with an Application to Grocery Shopping. Journal of Retailing, 73 (4): 463-486.
- Reed Research Group. 2003. White paper on Sustainability: A report on the Green Building Movement. Building Design & Construction. Available at: https://www.usgbc.org/Docs/Resources/BDCWhitePaperR2.pdf. Last visited: 20/02/2007.
- Roberts, J. 1996. Green consumers in the 1990s: Profile and Implications for Advertising. Journal of Business Research, 36 (3): 217-231.
- Romaniuk, J. 2003. Brand attributes 'distribution outlets' in the mind. Journal of Marketing Communications, 9 (2) 73-89.
- Shavitt, S., Lowrey, P., and Haefner, J. 1998. Public attitudes toward advertising: More favorable than you might think. Journal of Advertising Research, 38 (4): 7–22.

- Shimp, T. A. 1981. Attitude toward the ad as a mediator of consumer brand choice. Journal of Advertising, 10 (2): 9-15.
- Shuptrine, K. and McVicker, D. 1981. Readability levels of magazines ads. Journal of Advertising Research, 21(5): 45-52.
- Smith, A. 1776/1987. The wealth of nations. Classics of organization theory. Pacific Grove, Brooks/Cole.
- Swan, J. E. and Combs, L. J. 1976. Product Performance and Consumer Satisfaction: A New Concept. Journal of Marketing, 40 (2): 25-33.
- Tukker, A. and Jansen, B. 2006. Environmental Impacts of Products: A Detailed Review of Studies. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 10 (3): 159-182.
- Trusty, W.B. and Horst, S. 2005. LCA Tools Around the World. In Life Cycle Assessment and Sustainability. Building Design and Construction, November: 12-16.
- Vaughn, R. 1980. How Advertising Works: A Planning Model. Journal of Advertising Research, 20 (5): 27-33.
- Wilson, D. T. 1995. An Integrated Model of Buyer-Seller Relationships. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 23 (4): 335–345.
- Winters, L. C. 1986. The effect of brand advertising on company image: implications for corporate advertising. Journal of Advertising Research, 26 (2): 54-59.
- Wolter, J. F.; Bacon, F. H.; Duhan, D. F. and Wilson, R. D. 1989. How Designers and Buyers Evaluate Products. Industrial Marketing Management, 18 (2): 81-89.
- Woods, W. 1960. Psychological dimensions of consumer decision. Journal of Marketing, 24 (3): 15-19.
- Wu, B. T. and Newell, S. J. 2003. The impact of noise on recall of advertisements. Journal of Marketing Theory & Practice, 11 (2): 56-65.
- Yi, Y. 1990. Cognitive and affective priming effects of the context for print advertisements. Journal of Advertising, 19 (2): 40-58.